1 2 3 4 5	COMMONWEALTH OF PENN V. TIMOTHY MARK CURLEY	ISYLVANIA	: IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF : DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA : : No. CP-22-MD-1385-2012
6 7 8	COMMONWEALTH OF PENN	۷.	OF : DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA :
9 10	GARY CHARLES SCHULTZ	-	: No. CP-22-MD-1386-2012
11 12 13	COMMONWEALTH OF PENN	ISYLVANIA V.	: IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF : DAUPHIN COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA :
14 15	GRAHAM B. SPANIER		: No. CP-22-MD-1387-2012
16	-		
17			OF PROCEEDINGS
18 19			NARY HEARING DLUME 2
20		<u>vc</u>	<u>JLONE Z</u>
20	BEFORE :	MAGISTER WILLIAM	IAL DISTRICT JUDGE WENNER
22 23 24	DATE : PLACE :	TUESDAY, COURTROO	JULY 30, 2013
25			JRG, PENNSYLVANIA

r

1	
2	BRUCE R. BEEMER, ESQUIRE LAURA DITKA, ESQUIRE
3	JAMES BARKER, ESQUIRE OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL
4	For - Commonwealth
5	CAROLINE ROBERTO, ESQUIRE BRIAN PERRY, ESQUIRE
6	For - Defendant Curley
7 8	THOMAS FARRELL, ESQUIRE GEORGE H. MATANGOS, ESQUIRE
9	For - Defendant Schultz
10	ELIZABETH K. AINSLIE, ESQUIRE
11	For - Defendant Spanier
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 2		INDEX TO WI	<u>TNESSES</u>		
3	FOR THE COMMONWEALTH	DIRECT	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	RECROSS
4	Anthony Sassano	5	13		
5	Anthony Sassano By Mr. Perry By Mr. Farrell By Ms. Ainslie		21 33		
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

1		<u>INDEX TO EXHIBITS</u>	
2			
3	FOR THE COMMONWEALTH	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	# 28 - McQuaide Blasko	10	10
5	invoice	10	12
6	FOR DEFENDANT SPANIER		
7	#1 - 8/31/12 letter	37	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	TUESDAY, JULY 30, 2013
3	AFTERNOON SESSION
4	THE COURT: Whenever you are ready, Mr.
5	Beemer.
6	The Commonwealth calls Agent Sassano.
7	The commonwearth carrs Agent bassand.
8	ANTHONY SASSANO,
9	called as a witness, being duly sworn, testified as
10	follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION
12	BY MR. BEEMER:
13	Q Can you state your name, please.
14	A Anthony Sassano.
15	Q Spell your last name.
16	A S-a-s-s-a-n-o.
17	Q How are you employed?
18	A With the Pennsylvania Office of Attorney
19	General, Bureau of Narcotics.
20	${f Q}$ Briefly describe your career in law
21	enforcement.
22	A From 1979 to 1999, City of Altoona Police
23	Department. And from December of 1999 to present, the
24	attorney general's office.
25	Q What is your current title?

5

1	
1	A Regional director.
2	Q You were one of the lead agents assigned to
3	this investigation?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	Q About when did that occur?
6	A May of 2009.
7	${\tt Q}$ As a result of information you obtained during
8	the course of the investigation, did you attempt to
9	ascertain whether or not there had ever been a report
10	either made to either law enforcement or a child
11	welfare agency or any other agency within the
12	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania related to the incident
13	reported by Mike McQueary?
14	A Yes, I did.
15	${\tt Q}$ What did your investigation reveal?
16	A There was no report filed in reference to the
17	report, which at the last preliminary we thought was
18	'02. It was 2001.
19	There was no report filed in reference to that
20	matter.
21	${\tt Q}$ Did you check with both police entities and
22	child welfare?
23	A Yes, and Centre County CYS also.
24	${f Q}$ Now, I want to ask you, did you participate in
25	the trial of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania versus

1	
1	Gerald Sandusky?
2	A Yes, I did.
3	${\tt Q}$ And that particular case involved 10 listed
4	victims. Eight of them were identified; is that
5	correct?
6	A Yes.
7	${\tt Q}$ They were referred to, both in the information
8	and in other court pleadings, by certain victim
9	numbers; is that correct?
10	A Yes, you are correct.
11	${\tt Q}$ Could you tell the Court, were any of those
12	victims the subject of abuse by Jerry Sandusky after
13	February of 2001?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Could you identify who those victims were?
16	A Without saying their names, identifying by the
17	same numbers we used in the Sandusky trial, they would
18	be the following: Number one, number five, number
19	nine.
20	${\tt Q}$ And specifically as it relates to number five,
21	was some of that abuse, was it alleged to have
22	occurred on Penn State's campus?
23	A Yes, same shower, Lasch Building. Same
24	showers, I believe, Mr. McQueary witnessed in his
25	incident.

And specifically as it relates to victim one 1 0 and victim nine, how recent was that abuse in terms of 2 how long ago did they indicate that they had been 3 sexually abused by Jerry Sandusky? 4 2007-2008 time frame. 5 А And with regard to victims one and nine, what 6 0 7 was Jerry Sandusky convicted of? Number one and nine? 8 А Yes. 9 0 Umm --А 10 Let me ask you what the most serious crime 11 0 was? 12 Number one and number nine was IDSI, 13 А involuntary deviate sexual intercourse, was the most 14 serious offense. And there were other underlying or 15 related sexual offenses such as indecent assault and 16 having contact with a minor, unlawful contact with a 17 minor, things of that nature, not as serious as the 18 IDSI. 19 And were there criminal charges instituted 20 0 related to the 1998 incident that we've heard much 21 22 about over the last two days? 23 А There were, yes. 24 0 And that victim was referred to by what 25 number?

1	A Number six.
2	${\tt Q}$ Did a jury return a criminal conviction
3	related to the 1998 incident?
4	A It did.
5	Q Could you identify what the jury convicted Mr.
6	Sandusky of?
7	A Unlawful contact with a minor, corruption of
8	minors, endangering welfare of children.
9	Q And similarly, were there criminal charges
10	filed against Mr. Sandusky for this conduct and
11	contact with the boy that was seen in the shower by
12	Mike McQueary that was testified to yesterday?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	${\tt Q}$ Were there criminal convictions as a result
15	by a jury, as a result of that incident?
16	A Yes, sir. They were indecent assault,
17	unlawful contact with a minor, corruption of minors
18	and endangering welfare of children.
19	Q Okay. During the course of your
20	investigation, did you obtain a document from the law
21	firm McQuaide Blasko?
22	A Yes, I did.
23	${\tt Q}$ And back in the nineties and well into the
24	2000s, did McQuaide Blasko have any sort of
25	relationship with Penn State University?

They were the legal firm that represented Penn 1 Α State in everything, yes. 2 3 And specifically, did you obtain a document or 0 a time sheet or a billing record that would be 4 particularly relevant to this investigation? 5 Yes. 6 А 7 I want to show you what I've marked for Ο 8 identification purposes Commonwealth's Exhibit 28 and ask if you can identify that? 9 Yes, I can identify it. 10 А What is it? 11 Ο It's a billing record from McQuaide Blasko in 12 Α reference to their Penn State account. 13 You look at the entire thing, it is all Penn 14 State billing records on here. Specifically, the last 15 three lines are reference to something that occurred 16 in this investigation. 17 18 0 Now, just to clarify, it has been identified 19 through electronic documents and through testimony that the date of the Friday night incident that Mike 20 McQueary witnessed was what date? 21 22 А February 9, 2001. 23 And through the testimony, the date that he 0 24 would have reported what he had seen to Coach Paterno 25 was what day?

The following day, February 10th; Saturday. 1 Α February 10th, 2001. 2 3 0 Is there any notation in Commonwealth's 28 that is relevant to that time frame? 4 5 The very last notation on this page is А Yes. dated February 11th of 2001. 6 What is the -- what is the indication on that 7 0 notation? 8 There is some type of case number on there. 9 Ι А don't know how McQuaide Blasko does that, if they do 10 it per incident or I don't know their numbering 11 12 system. Anyway, in reference to that, it says, 13 "PSU-general-finance/business-central." On the next 14 line it says, "Conference with G. Schultz regarding" 15 -- it has re -- "regarding reporting of suspected 16 child abuse." 17 I will repeat that, "suspected child abuse. 18 Legal research re" -- r-e -- "same." 19 Then under that there is another line that 20 says, "Conference with G. Schultz." 21 22 Then beside that there is notation for time 23 spent which equals 2.90 hours. 24 So Wendell Courtney would have been the attorney of record for McQuaide Blasko at the time. 25

1	He spent, on a Sunday on a Sunday for suspected
2	child abuse, he spent 2.9 hours dealing with Gary
3	Schultz.
4	MR. BEEMER: Move for admission of 28.
5	MR. FARRELL: No objection.
6	MS. AINSLIE: No objection.
7	THE COURT: So moved.
8	BY MR. BEEMER:
9	${f Q}$ Now, during the course of the investigation,
10	was there a file folder that was recovered from
11	Mr. Schultz' office that was noted to be a file
12	containing information about Jerry Sandusky?
13	A Well, there is one that we recovered which
14	essentially was empty.
15	Q Right.
16	A There is another one that we recovered
17	eventually.
18	${f Q}$ Right. The one that was essentially empty,
19	what was left in there?
20	A There were my recollection is the one that
21	was provided to us, the one we recovered, had two
22	pieces of paper in there in reference to Jerry
23	Sandusky and there was reference to retirement
24	documents.
25	I don't recall exactly what they were.

My question is, during the course of the 1 Ο investigation, did you determine that one of the 2 3 people who was involved in dealing with Sandusky's retirement was Gary Schultz? 4 And then through talking to other 5 А Yes. people, he fit into the misconduct employee category, 6 I do believe. 7 That's all I have, Your Honor. 8 MR. BEEMER: Call for cross. 9 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 10 BY MR. PERRY: 11 Mr. Sassano, good afternoon. 12 Q How are you doing? 13 Α My name is Brian Perry. I represent Tim 14 Q Curley with Caroline Roberto. 15 16 How many child abuse investigations have you been involved in? 17 I don't know a number. 18 Α Give me a number? 19 0 Oh, I would say less than 10. 20 Α Have you had any training in child abuse 21 Q investigations? 22 23 А Yes. Is it the policy of Centre County to ask 24 Ο children and youth services and law enforcement to 25

interview kids at the same time? 1 2 That is my understanding. А That's typically a statewide thing, isn't it? 3 Q It's what they call protocol. А 4 Because you don't want to interview child 5 Q victims too many times, do you? 6 That's correct. 7 А 8 Ο One of the reasons why you don't want to do that is because you want consistency, don't you; 9 consistent statements? 10 You want consistent statements and you want 11 А more, you don't want to keep traumatizing the kid more 12 than necessary. 13 There --14 Sure. 15 0 16 So there's more than just the part that you Α emphasized. 17 18 0 The same is true in these investigations with 19 witnesses; isn't that true? You want consistency? 20 MR. BEEMER: Your Honor, objection to the This is beyond the scope of direct. 21 relevance. 22 MR. PERRY: I ask for a little bit of leeway. 23 I will end it quickly. 24 THE COURT: End it quickly. BY MR. PERRY: 25

1	Q	You want consistency?
2	A	What witnesses are you referring to?
3	Q	Well, let's talk about Mike McQueary in this
4	investig	ation. You interviewed him, didn't you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Back in November of 2010?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Right?
9		MR. BEEMER: Objection, Your Honor. Now we're
10	just way	afield.
11		MR. PERRY: I will ask one series of
12	question	S.
13		MR. BEEMER: They still need to be relevant.
14		MR. PERRY: These are relevant.
15		THE COURT: I don't know how far we're going.
16	BY MR. F	ERRY :
17	Q	Did you interview him in 2010, Mike McQueary?
18	A	You already said I did and I said yes.
19	Q	Did you interview him?
20	A	I said yes.
21	Q	Okay.
22		Were you here yesterday when he testified?
23		MR. BEEMER: Same objection, Your Honor. It
24	is compl	etely irrelevant.
25		MR. PERRY: It can rebut prima facie, Your

1	Honor. We are here for that, a prima facie finding.
2	THE COURT: We are going to abandon this line
3	about Mr. McQueary.
4	MR. PERRY: Yes, sir.
5	BY MR. PERRY:
6	${f Q}$ You talked about the alleged victim or the
7	victims in the Sandusky trial by number, correct?
8	A I did.
9	${f Q}$ For the 2001 case involving Mike McQueary in
10	the shower, what he saw in the shower, what was that
11	victim's number?
12	A Number two.
13	${f Q}$ Is it true that the jury acquitted Mr.
14	Sandusky on penetration-related charges?
15	A Yes, it's true.
16	Q They convicted on indecent assault, right;
17	which is inappropriate touching?
18	A Yes.
19	${\tt Q}$ Corruption and endangering, didn't they?
20	A Yes.
21	Q So no penetration?
22	A And unlawful contact.
23	${f Q}$ Okay, but they acquitted on involuntary
24	deviate sexual intercourse, which is penetration; am I
25	correct?

Г

That's correct. And if I can give you a 1 А complete answer. 2 3 0 Sure. From day one, Mike McQueary never said that he А 4 saw penetration. He said he saw Mr. Sandusky behind a 5 boy in a motion that he believed there was 6 7 penetration. However, the only way we would actually know if there was penetration would be if the victim 8 would come forward, number one, or if Mr. McQueary 9 would have actually seen that with his eyes, which he 10 always said he never saw there. 11 But he later described hands on hips? 12 Q Yes. 13 А And lifting, didn't he? 14 Q Yes, that's part of his testimony. 15 Α Did he describe --16 Q MR. BEEMER: Your Honor, now I got to object. 17 This is a most far afield area of cross-examination. 18 THE COURT: Can we move on? 19 MR. PERRY: Yes, we can. 20 BY MR. PERRY: 21 22 Ο You are aware when children and youth and law

23 enforcement get involved in investigations that these 24 investigations can take some period of time; am I correct? 25

Yes, they can. 1 А And sometimes children and youth and law 2 Ο enforcement have differing opinions; differing 3 conclusions, don't they? 4 I imagine that happens. 5 А Back in 1998, are you aware that Centre County 6 Ο Children and Youth did not have an indicated or 7 founded finding in their investigation? 8 Say that again. 9 А In 1998, did Centre County Children and Youth 10 Ο have an indicated or founded conclusion? 11 MR. BEEMER: Objection, relevance. 12 MR. PERRY: Absolutely relevant, Your Honor. 13 MR. BEEMER: He can say it's relevant, but 14 it's clearly not. It is completely beyond the scope 15 for which he was called. We could ask Agent Sassano 16 about everything he did during the investigation. 17 He is the affiant. He is in 18 MR. PERRY: control of this investigation, Your Honor. He had --19 they've asked about the number of people who were --20 the number of alleged victims who led to convictions 21 after 2001. 22 23 I'm asking one question about 1998 where no 24 criminal charges were filed and children and youth did not have an indicated or founded status. And I'm 25

asking if this trooper is aware of that. That's the 1 only question I will ask him on that line. 2 3 MR. BEEMER: It's irrelevant whether he is aware of it. It does not have anything to do with why 4 he was called or what you have to determine. 5 THE COURT: I'm not going to let him answer 6 7 that. MR. PERRY: 8 Okay. BY MR. PERRY: 9 When did you become aware of the 1998 10 0 incident? 11 MR. BEEMER: Objection, it is also irrelevant. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. Can you answer that? 1.3 THE WITNESS: When did we become aware of 14 1998? It was subsequent to dealing with Mr. McQueary, 15 so somewhere around November, 2010. Maybe December of 16 2010, in that time frame. 17 BY MR. PFRRY: 18 Let's go fast forward. You're suggesting in 19 0 20 2001, when Mike McQueary saw what he saw, that Mr. Curley, Mr. Schultz and Mr. Spanier, did not act 21 22 appropriately, which led to other victimization. Ιs 23 that what you are suggesting? 24 MR. BEEMER: Objection. It is not up to the 25 agent to suggest anything.

MR. PERRY: Is that what you have charged? 1 THE COURT: Well, that's a fair question. 2 3 Is that what you have charged? THE WITNESS: That's what I have charged, yes, 4 but I haven't suggested anything. You are suggesting. 5 You are making suggestions. I am giving testimony in 6 response in your questions. 7 MR. PERRY: I understand. 8 BY MR. PERRY: 9 How many alleged victims were victimized post 10 0 2001? 11 Post what? 12 А Post 2001. 1.3 Q MR. BEEMER: Objection. There is no way to 14 It could be hundreds, so it is an impossible 15 know. question to answer. If he wants to ask how many were 16 charged and were convicted, that's another thing. 17 18 MR. PERRY: Okay. Fair question. BY MR. PERRY: 19 How many were charged post 2001? 20 Ο I just testified to three, but something is 21 А 22 telling me I might have missed one, but at least 23 three. 24 Ο And your belief is that the victimization occurred between 2001 and 2010? 25

1	A	On the ones charged in 2008
2	Q	I'm sorry.
3	A	2008
4	Q	On one?
5	A	No, I said on the ones charged.
6	Q	On the ones charged?
7	A	They would have been between 2001 and 2008.
8	Q	Since the Sandusky verdict, have other alleged
9	victims	come forward to you?
10		MR. BEEMER: Objection.
11		THE COURT: All right, I don't know what the
12	relevand	ce is. We're here for the specific charges set
13	in the c	criminal complaints. If there is additional
14	victims,	I don't see that it has a bearing on the
15	matters	before us today.
16		MR. PERRY: Okay. No further questions.
17		Thank you, Your Honor.
18		THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MR. F	ARRELL:
20	Q	Good afternoon, Mr. Sassano.
21	A	Hello, Mr. Farrell.
22	Q	Don't take this one way or another as either a
23	complime	ent or an insult, but you are not a lawyer, are
24	you?	
25	A	No.

I have a thick skin, so I won't take it either 1 way. 2 3 Good. You never worked in a law office, have Q you? 4 5 No, that's one thing I didn't do. А You wouldn't stoop that low, is that what you 6 0 7 are --8 I have hauled garbage. I have done a lot of А but I have never been a lawyer. things, 9 0 All right. The McQuaide Blasko billing 10 record --11 Yes, sir. 12 А -- you're not -- you don't deal much, in the 13 Q course of your work, with attorney's billing records, 14 15 do you? 16 No, not much. In this case somewhat because I Α had to, but typically no. 17 18 Q If you take -- do you have the exhibit in front of you? 19 I do. 20 А 28? 21 Q 22 А Yes, yes. 23 Q If you would look at the entry, the page, the 24 last entry, the one for February 11th, 2001, the way that's set up, is there a description of what appears 25

to be the work the attorney did, right? 1 You mean the comments after the name Schultz? 2 Α 3 Oh yeah, you're talking like about the title, general, finance or business. 4 5 Yeah, well, that's the title. Q 6 А Tell me what you are talking about. 7 Yeah, all right. At the top of the page. Q 8 Let's set this up. 9 А Okay. You see there are headings? 0 10 There's matter I.D., then description, then 11 task/activity, then hours; right? 12 You're right, yes. 13 Α And matter I.D. on the entry we're talking 14 0 about appears to correspond to number 4000-450061? 15 16 It does, yes. Α All right. Then description. That appears to 17 Q 18 correspond to PSU-general-finance/business-central; is that right? 19 Yes. 20 А Then the task activity, that appears to 21 Ο 22 correspond to the entry "conference with G. Schultz, 23 re reporting of suspected child abuse; legal research 24 re same; conference with G. Schultz," right? That's correct. 25 Α

1	\sim So what I just road starting with "conformed"
1	Q So what I just read starting with "conference"
2	and ending with "conference with G. Schultz," that
3	appears to be the task or activity that the billing
4	attorney did on that date?
5	A To me it does, yes.
6	${\scriptscriptstyle Q}$ What it indicates is that if we take it in
7	the sequence in which it was written, first a
8	conference with Mr. Schultz, then legal research about
9	the subject of the conference and then another
10	conference with G. Schultz, right?
11	A I agree.
12	${\tt Q}$ Now, each of those task activity descriptions,
13	none of them has a time immediately after each
14	activity, does it?
15	A No.
16	${\tt Q}$ It just has, in the hours column, a summary
17	for the amount of hours spent on that day on those
18	total task activities, right?
19	A That's correct.
20	Q Of 2.9 hours?
21	A That's correct.
22	${f Q}$ So from reading this, you can't tell how much
23	time was spent in the first conference with
24	Mr. Schultz versus how much time was spent for the
25	legal research versus how much time was spent in the

Г

1	second conference with Mr. Schultz?
2	A Yes, you're right.
3	${f Q}$ So as far as we know, it could have been .2
4	hours the way we guys do it, tenths of an hour
5	.2 hours for the first conference, 2.5 hours for the
6	research, or .2, and then .2 hours for the last
7	conference, for example. That's possible?
8	A Perhaps, yes, that could have happened.
9	Q We can't tell from looking at this?
10	A Mr. Courtney could explain it to us. We
11	can't yes, we cannot tell from this document.
12	${ m Q}$ Okay, but you can't tell explain it to us?
13	A That's correct.
14	${f Q}$ Moving on to a different topic, the
15	investigation you did to check whether there was a
16	report about what we now know to be the 2001 incident.
17	Now, as you yourself pointed out back at the time of
18	the previous preliminary hearing involving Mr.
19	Schultz, Mr. Curley, we all thought the incident was
20	2002, right?
21	A That's correct, yes.
22	${f Q}$ And you testified at that preliminary hearing
23	about investigating to see whether a report had been
24	made about this 2002 incident, right?
25	A Yes.

Q Since that preliminary hearing, did you go
 back and check with the same agencies about the 2001
 date?

A The only one I checked with, which I didn't testify the last time, I didn't call DPW. I believe I just went with Centre CYS. I did call DPW and they have no report of anything on file in reference to Mr. Sandusky.

I believe my prior testimony, the information
that we had gotten from Carol Smith, the director of
Centre CYS at the time, was for them to provide any
information listing Mr. Sandusky, you know, as a
subject of an investigation, a perpetrator.

There were none at all. That would have
covered '02 also.

The only thing that she could find or provide to us in reference to Mr. Sandusky is a 2008 report and that would be the victim number one report. That was through child --

20 Q All right.

21 A Hopefully I didn't make that too confusing for 22 you.

23 Q Well, let me make sure I understand.

You checked with CYS before the previouspreliminary hearing, right?

That's correct, yes. 1 А According to what CYS told you, they keep 2 Q their records by potential subject or perpetrator 3 name; is that right? Is that accurate? 4 Yes, that's correct. However, if it is 5 А unfounded, they don't have it. They would not have 6 that record. 7 So if they had a report, investigated it and 8 Ο decided it was unfounded, they would not keep any 9 record of it? 10 By law they cannot. Yes, you are correct. 11 Α So the fact that they have no record about 12 0 2001, concerning -- let me withdraw that. 13 Based upon what she told you, they would have 14 no record and had no record about the 1998 15 investigation, did they? 16 They did not have a record, you're right. 17 Α And DPW had no record of 1998 either, did 18 0 19 they? Yes, that's correct. So then I go to plan B 20 А or C or D and go to the police department who would 21 22 have conducted the investigation --23 0 Um-hum. 24 -- who would have jurisdiction over the А location where the incident occurred or would have 25

1	occurred. In this particular case, that's Penn State
2	University Police Department, and I got the report
3	there from them. It was a 1998 incident with Mr.
4	Sandusky.
5	Q For the 1998 incident?
6	A Yes, sir. If there would have been an
7	incident reported in 2001, the DPW or Centre CYS
8	and if it was unfounded, where I think you are going
9	with all of this, if it was unfounded, of course they
10	would not have a report. However, they cannot conduct
11	a criminal investigation.
12	One of the other attorneys referred to
13	protocol that's in place in reference to these types
14	of matters. They would have done a joint
15	investigation with, in this particular case,
16	Pennsylvania State University Police Department, who
17	would have retained a copy of that report, and there
18	was none for 2001.
19	${\tt Q}$ Let me make sure I understand it.
20	A Okay.
21	${f Q}$ It seems what you are implying is, if CYS did
22	an investigation on its own and found the allegation
23	to be unfounded, it would not maintain a record,
24	right?
25	A If CYS did? CYS would not do a child sexual

1	abuse investigation on their own. They may take the
2	initial complaint. They would immediately marry up
3	with the D.A.'s office and the police department and
4	this group and this protocol would go out and do the
5	investigation.
6	${ extsf{Q}}$ Is it your understanding that CYS would and
7	did do suspected child abuse investigations on their
8	own?
9	In other words, child abuse, not child sexual
10	abuse?
11	A They can do some, yes, that's correct. I
12	thought you meant sexual abuse, because that's what we
13	are talking about.
14	They can do regular child abuse stuff. If
15	they see that there is something that the police need
16	to be involved in, they would call them in.
17	${f Q}$ They would call the police only if they
18	determined that it was child abuse of a sexual nature;
19	is that what you are saying?
20	A There could be other things; extreme violence,
21	injuries, things like that. So it's not solely just
22	sexual abuse. There are certain criteria.
23	${f Q}$ It was up to CYS to make the initial call to
24	bring the police in?
25	A Yes under which case? Which are you

Г

talking about? Just --1 Generally? 2 Q Generally, yes, under those conditions. 3 Α Yes. 0 4 Perhaps they would get a call that someone 5 Α doesn't have food in their house, a child is being 6 7 abused, those generalities. They could go into the 8 house, do a preliminary investigation, and if they see that there is something there they need the police --9 sexual abuse would definitely be something they need 10 the police for -- they would call them in. 11 So CYS gets an allegation -- you're 12 Ο understanding is that CYS would get an allegation, do 13 an investigation and then decide whether or not to 14 call the police in, depending upon the nature of what 15 it found? 16 17 MR. BEEMER: Objection, relevance. I mean at 18 this point --MR. FARRELL: The relevance is the absence of 19 20 a record may not show that there was no report. THE COURT: If we can wrap this up relatively 21 22 quick. MR. FARRELL: All right. 23 THE WITNESS: You have to repeat that. 24 BY MR. FARRELL: 25

Your understanding of CYS -- and we're talking 1 0 about Dauphin County -- no, we're not. We are talking 2 about Centre County CYS. 3 We are. А 4 Yes. 5 0 Their practice was, they get an allegation 6 7 back in 2001, talking about generally, not this 8 particular one. Do an investigation and CYS would make the determination whether or not to call in the 9 police, right? 10 11 А In certain matters, yes. That's not sacrosanct. It is certain matters. 12 But it was their call? 1.3 0 Yes. 14 А If they did an investigation, did not call the 15 Ο police and determined it was unfounded, there would be 16 no record with CYS, right? 17 18 Α That's correct. But sexual abuse is different than, I believe, 19 what we are talking about here. We are talking about 20 maybe a physical abuse or, like I said, child neglect 21 22 or something or other, is my understanding of what you 23 are relaying to me right now. 24 0 I am going to move on, because I think everyone is losing patience with the two of us. 25

1	A Me too.
2	${f Q}$ Your search for the Jerry Sandusky file, you
3	mentioned finding documents relating to Jerry
4	Sandusky's retirement in the office of the senior
5	vice-president of finance and business, right?
6	A Yes.
7	${\tt Q}$ When did you find that document?
8	A Can't give you a date. I don't know for sure.
9	It was at some point in time after Duane
10	Morris became involved. Duane Morris law firm became
11	involved and they turned those over.
12	${f Q}$ So it was Duane Morris lawyers who actually
13	found the record; is that right?
14	A I don't know that they found it. I know they
15	turned yeah, I guess you could say that. They
16	turned over a bunch of files from Mr. Schultz' office
17	and that was amongst those files.
18	Whether they just went through and gathered
19	everything up and turned everything over to us or not,
20	I'm not real clear on that.
21	${f Q}$ So it was not you yourself who found the file
22	or anyone from your office, right?
23	A My recollection, it was turned over amongst
24	other documents from Gary's office by Duane Morris.
25	${f Q}$ And that was so you don't know of your own

1	personal knowledge exactly where they found that
2	document, do you?
3	A No. I believe it was in that I believe it
4	was in the same file drawer, the credenza.
5	Q Meaning? I'm sorry.
6	A It's like a credenza/bookcase. It was long
7	and narrow, had three or four drawers on the bottom.
8	Q The one we heard talk about yesterday, right?
9	A Yes. Yes, same one. Joan and
10	Q Kim?
11	A Miss Belcher would know better than me.
12	They saw it every day.
13	
	Q Without fixing the specific date, it was found
14	after the initial charges were filed against
15	Mr. Schultz and Mr. Curley?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. FARRELL: I have no other questions.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	BY MS. AINSLIE:
20	Q Good afternoon, Agent Sassano.
21	A Hello.
22	Q I think you know who I am by now?
23	A I know who you are and who you represent, yes.
24	Q Good.
25	You said in response to questions from

Г

1	Mr. Beemer that you that eight out of the ten
2	victims were identified at Sandusky's trial?
3	A Yes, and they testified, yes.
4	Q And all right.
5	The person that Mr. McQueary says he saw in
6	the shower room with Mr. Sandusky in February of 2001
7	was not one of those; isn't that right?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q Do you know who that child is?
10	MR. BEEMER: Objection.
11	MS. AINSLIE: Your Honor, this is at the heart
12	of this case.
13	THE COURT: I am going to sustain the
14	objection.
15	BY MS. AINSLIE:
16	${\tt Q}$ I'm not going to ask you what this child's
17	name is, but did you make a decision not to call that
18	child to testify at Mr. Sandusky's trial?
19	MR. BEEMER: Objection to what went on in Mr.
20	Sandusky's trial.
21	MS. AINSLIE: Your Honor, the prosecution has
22	opened the door by bringing in all of these
23	convictions, all of the Sandusky trial. It was the
24	predominant subject matter.
25	MR. BEEMER: It's a fact. I mean, we're

Г

talking about something the Court could have taken 1 2 judicial notice of. She is asking about some particular strategy or decision by the attorney 3 general's office. There is a big difference. 4 5 THE COURT: I don't know that this agent can answer that. 6 7 MS. AINSLIE: He can answer it. I don't know 8 Your Honor. Understand, I am not quarreling with the Court. 9 THE COURT: I know you're not. I don't want 10 to go further with this line of questioning with this 11 witness. 12 MS. AINSLIE: All right. 13 BY MS. AINSLIE: 14 Now, you have been shown -- we have been shown 15 0 in the course of the last two days, several times, the 16 e-mail that came on June 9 of 1998, that announced 17 18 that they met with Jerry and concluded that there was no criminal behavior in the 1998 episode. Do you 19 recall those? 20 Yeah, I recall a series of e-mails, so I guess 21 А 22 that was one of them. 23 0 Well, I can show you this one if you would 24 like. Would you like? 25 А If you want me to testify from it, that may be

better. Thank you. 1 It's the top one that I am talking about. 2 Ο 3 А Okay. Yeah, I do remember this one. 4 It's dated what? 5 0 June 9, 1998. 6 А 7 And your investigation revealed, did it not, Q that Graham Spanier was out of the country for some 8 period of time, including June 9th of 1998, did it 9 not? 10 11 Um, I'm not sure if he was out of the country А 12 or not, no. I know he was in country until --I'm sorry? 13 Q I believe he was in the country until May 14 А When he returned, I don't exactly recall off 22nd. 15 the top of my head. 16 17 MS. AINSLIE: I am not going to offer it in 18 evidence, but I will show the witness. BY MS. AINSLIE: 19 May I refresh your recollection with Dr. 20 Ο Spanier's diaries? 21 22 These were maintained by his secretary, 23 Carolyn Dolbin, were they not? 24 А It looks like something similar to what I 25 possess and back at that time Carolyn Dolbin did

maintain his day planner. 1 That date planner maintained by Carolyn Dolbin 2 Ο shows that Dr. Spanier was in London for at least 3 several days, possibly a week, in that vicinity and 4 certainly was in London on June 9th of 1998, correct? 5 That's what's in the day planner, yes. 6 А 7 You said June 9th? Yes. 8 0 Yeah. 9 А I believe that's the date? 10 0 Yep, you have it underlined there. 11 А Yes. 12 Q Dr. Spanier traveled a great deal, did he not? 13 Oh my, yes. I don't know how he ran the 14 Α university as much as he traveled, to be quite honest 15 16 with you. He had a great many of e-mails on a daily 17 Q basis? 18 I don't know about that. Braden and those 19 Α people would be the e-mail experts. 20 Q That's fine. 21 22 I'm showing you what I have marked GBS 1, which is a letter from me to Mr. Beemer? 23 24 А Okay. 25 Q Could you take a moment to read it?

Witness complies. 1 А In this letter --2 0 3 MR. BEEMER: I have a number of objections to this as absolutely nothing to do with Agent Sassano or 4 any relevance to anything before this Court. 5 MS. AINSLIE: Your Honor, as Your Honor can 6 7 tell, if Agent Sassano has never heard that I made on 8 behalf of Dr. Spanier an offer to bring him in, if he could look at his grand jury --9 MR. BEEMER: I want to do this at sidebar, 10 because this is completely --11 THE WITNESS: Do I have to step down, Your 12 Honor? 13 THE COURT: If you don't mind. 14 (A discussion occurred off the record at 15 sidebar.) 16 17 THE COURT: Tiva, I think for the record, Miss 18 Ainslie had requested for us to review this document that was labeled GBS No. 1. Over her objection, I am 19 not going to allow this document to be entered or I'm 20 not going to let this particular agent review this and 21 22 be questioned on the matter. MS. AINSLIE: Very well, Your Honor. 23 24 May I retrieve the copy from the witness? THE COURT: 25 Yes.

MS. AINSLIE: And may I have a moment? 1 (Pause.) 2 3 MS. AINSLIE: I have nothing further, Your Honor. Thank you very much. 4 MR. BEEMER: No redirect. 5 THE COURT: You are dismissed, Agent. Thank 6 7 you, sir. Mr. Beemer. 8 MR. BEEMER: Commonwealth rests, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Will there be any specific 10 testimony or witnesses called on behalf of any of the 11 Defendants in the matter? 12 MR. FARRELL: Not on our behalf. 13 MS. AINSLIE: No, Your Honor. 14 MS. ROBERTO: Not on behalf of Mr. Curley. 15 THE COURT: Have we worked out an order for 16 closing arguments among counsel? 17 MS. AINSLIE: I think I'm first, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 Liz, before you get started, if anybody would 20 like to leave the courtroom you can go now, but 21 22 obviously once we get started in this, nobody is coming back in. I don't want anybody moving. Thank 23 24 you. Thank you, Your Honor. MS. AINSLIE: 25

,	
1	Your Honor, I actually have a little bench
2	memorandum to offer the Court.
3	THE COURT: Thank you.
4	MS. AINSLIE: I beg the Court's indulgence.
5	THE COURT: That's all right.
6	MS. AINSLIE: Your Honor, what I propose to do
7	is to review the evidence very briefly with respect to
8	Dr. Spanier that you've heard in the last two days and
9	then relate it to the legal requirements that I set
10	forth in the bench memorandum. That will basically be
11	it.
12	Your Honor, the evidence against Dr. Spanier,
13	I submit, amounts only to innuendo and far-fetched
14	inference, and I think that you can see that when you
15	look at the evidence that has been given here.
16	Mr. McQueary testified. I will leave it to my
17	co-counsel to comment on his credibility. He seems to
18	be, in my judgment, making it up as he goes along. I
19	don't think he has ever told anyone the story about
20	Paterno having told him that Old Main screwed it up or
21	messed up. I don't think that's he is making that
22	up, but I will leave that to my co-counsel to address,
23	because, in the end, Mr. McQueary says nothing about
24	Dr. Spanier. Never spoke to Dr. Spanier. Never
25	followed up with Dr. Spanier. No relationship

1 whatsoever with Dr. Spanier.

Tom Harmon came next, according to my notes, 2 and also had no conversation with Dr. Spanier. All of 3 this is not very surprising. Even though they were on 4 the staff at Penn State, you've heard from numerous 5 witnesses that Dr. Spanier was in charge of a 6 university that was vast, that had thousands, tens of 7 8 thousands of employees, almost 100,000, I believe, students. The fact that they would not have had 9 contact with Dr. Spanier about these incidents is not 10 that surprising. But the fact remains, they have 11 nothing to say about Dr. Spanier's culpability in this 12 case. 1.3

My notes show that Joan Coble was the next witness. She likewise had nothing to say about Dr. Spanier. She was Gary Schultz's lawyer {sic}. She said nothing about him whatsoever.

18 Kim Belcher, likewise Mr. Schultz' secretary,
19 also said nothing about Dr. Spanier.

John Corro, the IT person at Penn State, said practically nothing. Said again that it was -- his file was unusual because the inbox was almost empty, but there were, I think he said, 84,000 or 64,000 -- I think 84,000 e-mails in the outbox. That's not exactly critical evidence bearing on the charges 1 before the Court.

2	He also agreed that the Old Main
3	administrative e-mail system was changed over in 2004
4	and that the e-mails from prior to 2004, or I think
5	maybe he was not sure of the precise date, that those
6	e-mails that predated that, unless they were saved in
7	a special system like Mr. Schultz', could not be
8	retrieved.
9	Lisa Powers testified to back and forth with
10	Dr. Spanier in the dark days at the end of October and
11	beginning of November of 2011. Back and forth about a
12	press release.
13	It still mystifies me what that what
14	relevance that has to these charges. You know, the
15	fact that Dr. Spanier wanted to express more or less
16	support for Mr. Schultz, for Mr. Curley, or more or
17	less effusive expressions of sympathy to Mr.
18	Sandusky's victims, I don't see how that bears at all
19	on any of the charges.
20	Finally, Mr. Cook testified and again I'm
21	mystified, and I think it's not just because I am a
22	neophyte to computers. It was conflicting. It
23	conflicted with the other prosecution witness,
24	Mr. Corro.
25	Again, Braden Cook said, one way or another,

1	some of Dr. Spanier's e-mail disappeared, but some
2	popped up from before what was it? 2004, I think
3	it was. Some of them popped up from before.
4	All of them were erased in 2011. He couldn't
5	explain that and he never, apparently, took this
6	conundrum to Steve Neeper, the head of Information
7	Technology at Penn State, for an explanation of all of
8	this.
9	That, I think, Your Honor, is the
10	prosecution's evidence against Dr. Spanier.
11	He was not Agent Sassano testified about
12	the victims. Again, Dr. Spanier never saw any of
13	these victims. Never spoke to any of these victims.
14	He basically had no relationship whatsoever to any of
15	these victims.
16	He also testified about, you know, the
17	McQuaide Blasko billing record. He himself did
18	nothing with respect to the billing record. Again, it
19	was Mr. Schultz who consulted counsel and obviously
20	counsel I will let Mr. Farrell make his point at
21	greater length, but obviously Mr. Courtney did not
22	feel that the allegations warranted a
23	full-fledged police investigation.
24	Finally, again, Mr. Sassano agreed that with
25	respect to the e-mails that the prosecution has been

taking us through the last couple of days, one of them 1 -- the first one in 1998 didn't even mention Jerry 2 Sandusky or even Jerry. It just mentioned Joe Paterno 3 and said the individual will be interviewed. 4 The subsequent one that did say Jerry in the 5 re line, didn't say Jerry who. Simply said this 6 7 investigation has been fully investigated and is 8 closed with no criminal wrongdoing. So these two 1998 e-mails are basically 9 They mean nothing. The fact is, Dr. Spanier vanilla. 10 was copied on them, did not respond to them. 11 The first one was totally nonspecific and the 12 second one said, "This investigation has been wrapped 13 up with no criminal charges." 14 So it's hardly surprising that when, in 2011, 15 Dr. Spanier appeared before the grand jury, that he 16 did not recall anything about the 1998 episode. 17 There 18 wasn't anything to remember. And in any event, he had not been told anything about the 1998 episode other 19 than having been copied on two e-mails, one of which 20 he probably didn't get because he was out of the 21 22 country. 23 Finally, Your Honor, the one e-mail that Dr. 24 Spanier did generate talks about, in February of 2001

25 -- talks about, for one thing, that it would be humane

to deal with it this way. I think that part of the 1 e-mail is totally explainable in terms of Mr. Curley's 2 desire to talk to Mr. Sandusky rather than talking 3 around him. Um, that he should be confronted with the 4 complaint that Mr. McQueary had made against him and 5 that he should be offered the opportunity to go to 6 Second Mile when Mr. Curley told Second Mile that Mr. 7 8 Sandusky was not to be bringing any more Second Mile kids to the Penn State facilities. 9

10 So the humane part of it was not doing this 11 behind Mr. Sandusky's back, but getting him involved.

There is the part that talks about, you know, would it be -- it might be vulnerable to criticism, some such thing, for failing to report it, but they could cross that bridge when they came to it. Something along those lines.

17 If the rest of the evidence showed that Dr. 18 Spanier had been told about deviant sexual behavior, that might be a really very critical e-mail. 19 But in the absence of that, in the absence of any evidence as 20 to what Dr. Spanier was told, I submit that this 21 22 e-mail says nothing more than what has been said 23 before, and certainly nothing inconsistent with what 24 Dr. Spanier said at the grand jury.

25

He has said, and consistently said, that what

he was told was upsetting; was that a staff member was 1 upset at seeing Jerry Sandusky and presumably a Second 2 Mile kid horsing around in the showers and that it was 3 inappropriate. So he understood, and there is nothing 4 in this e-mail to contradict that, that what he was 5 dealing with was a guy who everyone had revered, at 6 7 that time certainly, for having been not only a star defensive coordinator at Penn State, but also, and 8 more importantly, having founded Second Mile; having 9 been in the vanguard of helping troubled youth. 10

11 Obviously, in retrospect, that has a sinister 12 sound to it. But in fact, I believe -- I have been 13 lead to believe that tens of thousands of young people 14 who Second Mile, through its child psychologist and so 15 on, helped to lead more productive lives.

16 So he thought -- again, this is -- I'm just going by the text of the e-mail, that it would be --17 18 you know, they would go to Second Mile, tell Second Mile that Jerry Sandusky, the founder of Second Mile, 19 had a problem with boundaries. He did not seem to 20 understand what was appropriate, what was seemly and 21 22 that he should not bring children, Second Mile 23 children, onto the Second Mile {sic} campus again.

24 Your Honor, I now have sort of summed up my 25 understanding of the facts that have been produced to

1	you the last two days. What I would just like to
2	point out, in the bench memorandum, some of the really
3	important aspects of it.
4	First, Your Honor, the perjury. A lot of
5	these elements there are six elements that I put
6	down there, but the one that I want to focus on is
7	number four.
8	Not only does the prosecution have to show
9	that there was an inconsistency, that testimony was
10	incorrect, but the prosecution has a burden of
11	showing, even though it's a low burden here, Your
12	Honor, I think they have a burden of showing that
13	Dr. Spanier did not believe the statement to be true
14	at the time it was made.
15	I see no evidence in this record that Dr.
16	Spanier did not believe the statement, any of the
17	statements that he made in that grand jury transcript
18	to be false at the time. How did I start that?
19	I see no evidence that Dr. Spanier didn't
20	believe the statement to be true. He did.
21	Secondly, Your Honor, going on to obstruction
22	of justice, it's the first two of those elements that
23	I would like to focus on.
24	Dr. Spanier the Commonwealth needs to show
25	that Dr. Spanier had the intent to obstruct the

Г

They also have to show, in addition, that Dr. Spanier used force or violence, breached an official duty or committed an unlawful act to do this. Again, there is no evidence in this record evidence. Third, conspiracy to obstruct -- conspiracy to have to show that Dr. Spanier entered into an agreement to commit or aid in an unlawful act with intent. Now, of course, you know it is fairly clear who the prosecution thinks the co-conspirators were. It was Dr. Spanier and Mr. Schultz and Mr. Curley. absolutely no evidence of that. welfare of a child. There are two subsections, as Your Honor will note, on page three. There's one which is 4304(a)(1) and another that is 4304(a)(2). DAUPHIN COUNTY COURT REPORTERS

administration of the law or of other governmental function.

1

2

3 Where is the evidence of that? There is no evidence whatsoever. 4

5 6 7

8 that I have seen that amounts to that kind of level of 9 10

11 commit perjury. All of these conspiracy things, they 12 1.3 14 another person or persons; there was a shared criminal 15 16

17 18 19 But that they had a shared criminal intent? There is 20 21

22 Next, Your Honor, number four, endangering the 23 24 25

I believe the charges fall under both, or at
 least it is confusing to me as to which the
 Commonwealth wants to charge.

But in any event, taking the first one, (a)(1), the Commonwealth has to show, and I'm looking at the first two elements, that Dr. Spanier was a parent, guardian or other person supervising the welfare of a child under 18 years of age. There is absolutely no evidence of that.

Endangering the welfare of a child, he had no 10 supervision over these Second Mile children. As his 11 testimony said, Dr. Spanier and the administration at 12 Penn State was generous about allowing the community 13 to use the facilities at Penn State. That is no 14 crime. And it certainly does not mean that Dr. 15 Spanier or any of the others in the administration at 16 Penn State took on the supervision of young people 17 18 simply because they came onto the campus.

The clear intent of this statute is to talk about foster parents, uncles and aunts taking care of children, doctors perhaps, parents, guardians, people who were supervising the welfare of a child.

They also have to show that Dr. Spanier endangered the welfare of a child by violating a duty of care, protection or support. Again, that does not 1 apply to this case.

Dr. Spanier had no such duty. He was simply in charge of a large university that made its facilities available to the Second Mile and many other organizations and people.

The second part of that, 4304(a)(2), if the Commonwealth is proceeding on that arm of endangering the welfare of a child, they have to show that he was in an official capacity with respect to the child. Not just that he had an official capacity, but that he had an official capacity with respect to the child.

12 So again, it has to be a guardian, a parent, 13 something that's official with respect to the child, 14 and there is no evidence of that.

15 Secondly, they have to show that he prevented 16 or interfered with the making of a report of suspected 17 child abuse. Not just that he failed to do so, but 18 that he prevented or interfered with the making of a 19 report. There is absolutely no evidence in the record 20 of that.

Finally, Your Honor there is failure to report child abuse. And the first element there --

23 MR. BEEMER: Your Honor, I don't mean to 24 interrupt. I submit that is charged as a summary 25 offense, so the Court would not be entertaining

jurisdiction over that offense at this point. 1 MS. AINSLIE: That's fine. I mean, if the 2 prosecution is not bringing that case to you, Your 3 Honor, then I needn't trouble you with it. 4 Your Honor, I would just like to --5 MR. BEEMER: It is just that the Court had 6 made the decision --7 That's fine. I understand. 8 MS. AINSLIE: Ι think we both understand. 9 Your Honor, one of the things that -- I know 10 -- I'm not going to argue the statute of limitations. 11 You can see that that's one of the things that the 12 prosecution has to show, that they filed these charges 13 in a timely manner. I'm not going to argue that as a 14 matter of law, but I would like to argue it, Your 15 Honor, as a matter of fact. 16 The events that brings us here today almost 17 18 entirely occurred 15 years ago, 13 years ago, 12 years ago. What you are dealing with is recollections of 19 20 events when these gentlemen testified in the grand jury. Recollections of events that were at least 10 21 22 years old. 23 So although I'm not going to argue, Your 24 Honor, the statute of limitations as a legal matter, I 25 am going to ask the Court to understand and to examine the evidence in light of the fact that the witnesses, certainly these Defendants, and absolutely Dr. Spanier was, when he testified in the grand jury, as he said on several occasions, testifying on the basis of a recollection; a recollection that was at least 10 years old.

Your Honor, I think that's all I have unless
8 the Court has questions.

THE COURT: No, ma'am. Thank you very much. 9 May it please the Court, members MS. ROBERTO: 10 of the attorney general's office, and my colleagues, 11 Mr. Curley, Mr. Schultz and Dr. Spanier. I am not 12 going to belabor the points that were already covered 13 by Attorney Ainslie, but I would like to just review 14 very briefly what evidence came out over the last day 15 or so concerning Mr. Curley. 16

The evidence is repetitive. We heard really nothing out of the ordinary that we don't always hear from Mr. McQueary when he takes the witness stand, except we did hear some embellishment, like we always do.

Nonetheless, Mr. McQueary testified in front of this Court a year and a half ago and he established what this Court held was a prima facie case on the perjury.

Mr. McQueary testified to pretty much the same 1 thing yesterday. He did not address whether Mr. 2 3 Curley supervised the child. He did not address the issue of obstruction of justice. He did not address 4 the issue of criminal conspiracy. 5 I felt like I was listening to testimony that 6 may be more germane to a trial on the perjury. 7 8 Perjury was held for court in 2011. I heard some testimony related to Mr. Curley, 9 because I brought it up from John Corro, who was the 10 individual from Penn State who worked with the SOS. 11 Mr. Corro, after questioning him, stated that 12 indeed there was a search of e-mails, Mr. Curley's, 13 Mr. Schultz', Dr. Spanier's and others, as early as 14 March and April of 2011. 15 16 I wanted to bring that out because, frankly, the testimony that I was hearing did not fit at all 17 18 this 35 or so page presentment that we were served 19 with last year. So even though I am trying to make an argument 20 to the Court, I really don't know what the foundation 21 22 is that the prosecution is proceeding on. I guess for the obstruction of justice -- well, I don't know. 23 Ι 24 just don't know. But I thought maybe it would be what they have 25

	-
1	alleged in their presentment. And so, if you go to
2	page I think it's page 23 of the presentment.
3	The presentment says, as part of the
4	obstruction, "None of the SOS professionals were ever
5	shown a subpoena, subpoena 1179. Nor were they
6	directed to seek any information requested by 1179,"
7	inferring that there was never any collection of any
8	evidence, especially the electronic evidence. And
9	that's wrong. It's just wrong.
10	Mr. Corro did what he was instructed to do by
11	office of general counsel. He not only gathered
12	together and collected all of the e-mails that he was
13	requested to collect, but then he ran a key search on
14	all of those on all of those e-mails and provided
15	them to general counsel.
16	Mr. Corro said that had Braden Cook, the
17	special agent, been called in to assist him in March
18	or April of 2011, he would have gladly worked with
19	him.
20	It was not until December or November, late
21	November or early December, 2011, that the attorney
22	general's office sent Mr. Braden to Penn State
23	University.
24	So I don't know where we go from there. So I
25	was also thinking that, well, maybe as per the

obstruction of justice facts or the averments that are
alleged on page 32 concerning some boxes that were
found that belonged to Jerry Sandusky in the athletic
department, I waited for all day yesterday and half a
day today and heard nothing about boxes that were
allegedly found in the athletic department.

So this document that we received last year
has lots and lots of information in it, but none of it
is really -- or very little of it is really before the
Court.

11 So I think when we look at the obstruction of 12 justice charge, there really is no evidence presented 13 by the prosecution that supports that.

The other thing -- the other group of documents that we heard evidence of were the e-mails. Again, I think the e-mail thread that Mr. Curley was on relating to the 1998 incident, is clearly not obstruction of justice. It supports the Commonwealth's position on the perjury, but the perjury already has been held for court.

There is nothing in that document that supports a conspiracy to commit perjury. There is nothing in that report that promotes any kind of conspiracy to obstruct justice.

25

You know, I want to read to the Court, if I

1	have it here if the Court just grants me one
2	indulgence. Let me get my file.
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	MS. ROBERTO: I am befuddled by the
5	obstruction charge, so I went to the bench book which
6	includes all of the suggested instructions for jury
7	instruction for the judge to read to a jury.
8	It says on the obstruction, part of it, it
9	says, "Generally speaking, a person cannot commit a
10	crime unless he or she uses means to affirmatively
11	interfere with governmental function. Thus, you
12	cannot find the defendant guilty if you find that he
13	merely tried to avoid complying with the law without
14	affirmatively attempting to interfere with the
15	governmental function.
16	"The Commonwealth must prove something more
17	than just, for example, that Defendant fled the scene
18	of a crime, refused to submit to an arrest, or did not
19	perform a legal duty" or did not perform a legal
20	duty. They have to prove more than the individual did
21	not perform a legal duty.
22	"However, if the Defendant was a public
23	official charged by law with the obligation to perform
24	the duty, his failure to perform that duty must be
25	sufficient."

Commonwealth offered no evidence before this court yesterday or today that Mr. Curley was an official, public official. Which, of course, he's not.

5 Failure to perform a legal duty, they really 6 discussed nothing related to performing a legal duty, 7 so I'm again confused about the obstruction of justice 8 charge and confused about the conspiracy to commit 9 obstruction of justice.

Regarding the endangering the welfare of children, I think Miss Ainslie reviewed the elements of that charge. However, I did want to speak to the fact that both endangering the welfare of children charges are felony charges, and I don't see where the evidence is that Mr. Curley, over a course of a period of time, endangered welfare of children.

And Mr. Curley, at least we know from the e-mails that were presented, made a decision in 2001, over a period of 30 or 45 days, and the decision was made, it was implemented, and it was over. Mr. Curley did not repeatedly visit that issue. He did not -- he was not confronted again with the issue.

The Commonwealth showed us no evidence that there were other children that came forward.

25

And I will -- I will tell you, I will read

1 this case -- headnote from this one case, Commonwealth 2 versus Popow, P-o-p-o-w.

And basically says, the statute defines --"The offense of third degree felony endangerment of children is designed to punish a parent who, over days, weeks, or months abuses his children, such as repeatedly beating them or depriving them of food."

Now, that's not what happened in this case.
There were not repeated times where children came
forward. This decision was not revisited.

I understand the Commonwealth's theory that because there were children who were abused by Mr. Sandusky after that, that Mr. Curley should be held responsible for that, but he did not revisit the decision related to those individual children.

Another thing about this statute, the case law is replete with the Superior Court urging lower courts and litigants to interpret this statute in a commonsensical way. And common sense is, if you are going to hold something like this for court based upon one decision, then it should not be the continuing offense that rises to the level of a felony.

Now, the Commonwealth may argue this is more of a sentencing issue, it shouldn't be argued at this stage. I would point out to the Court, I don't have a copy with me, the new Supreme Court case, United
 States Supreme Court case, that any fact that raises
 the grading of a crime to a higher grader is an
 element and it is not just a sentencing issuing.

Even before we get to that, Your Honor, I don't think that any of the evidence that was presented related to Mr. Curley meets the prima facie case of endangering the welfare of children.

9 Then, finally, on the endangering, I think 10 Miss Ainslie addressed this to you; that the offense 11 of endangering, the way it is charged by the 12 Commonwealth, is a post 2007 statute.

We all learned from today and yesterday,
looking at the e-mails, this incident occurred in
2001. There was a different statute that was in
effect in 2001 through 2006, and then the statute was
changed.

18 I don't know how the Commonwealth expects to charge or have this charge with this new statute stick 19 against our clients. I'm sure that they will bring 20 that up with the Court and maybe we can respond once 21 22 we know. But I think under the circumstances, if we 23 were back here a year and a half ago, this evidence 24 may support a prima facie case of perjury, but under the circumstances, there's no case here for the 25

obstruction, the endangering, and the conspiracy. 1 2 Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. Good afternoon, Your Honor. MR. FARRELL: 4 THE COURT: How are you, sir? 5 MR. FARRELL: I'm fine. How are you? 6 7 It's been a long two days. I will try to be 8 brief, although I promised that before and perhaps disappointed you. 9 THE COURT: That's all right. 10 11 MR. FARRELL: I will try. The three quick points -- I am not going to 12 repeat what my co-counsel said. 13 On the conspiracy charges, there is just no 14 evidence of anything that Mr. Schultz did in 15 conjunction with the other two Defendants after 2001. 16 There's no evidence of a conspiracy. 17 18 There -- I attempted to bring out, I think the 19 Court understood, from the various witnesses that Mr. Schultz was in retirement for 2009 through 20 September of 2011. He had no involvement in 21 22 responding to any grand jury subpoenas for documents 23 in that time period and there is no evidence that he 24 was even aware of any grand jury subpoenas. 25 The evidence about Mr. Schultz with respect to obstruction is actually to the contrary. He's the guy
that preserved his e-mails. He's the fellow that kept
his notes. He told his secretary to tell the truth.
Finally, he's the one, through me, who produced the
Sandusky file. All the time not being under subpoena
to do any of that.

So contrary of their being a conspiracy case
and obstruction case, there's no evidence of
conspiracy, and actually, evidence of Mr. Schultz
going above and beyond to obey his obligation as a
citizen and cooperate with the investigation.

I guess there is -- again, I get pulled into this, the audacity I had to write a press release in October proclaiming my client's innocence. That's the only thing you heard. That is not obstruction of justice, certainly not from Mr. Schultz's part nor on my part.

Finally, with respect to the endangering the welfare of a child, you -- the Commonwealth obviously has charged the post 2006 version of the statute where, again, the only evidence about Mr. Schultz' conduct is evidence about his conduct in 2001. But even under the post 2006 version of the statute, the Commonwealth doesn't make out its case.

25

Under subsection (a)(1), it has to be shown

that Mr. Schultz either supervised the welfare of a 1 child or supervised one who did supervise the welfare 2 of a child. No evidence that Mr. Schultz had any 3 supervisory capacity with respect to any of the 4 children at issue in this case, and he had no 5 supervisory role with respect to Mr. Sandusky, because 6 as of 2001 -- as of 1999, Mr. Sandusky is no longer a 7 Penn State employee. 8

9 The other subsection that's charged, (a)(2), 10 requires that one in his official capacity interferes 11 with the making of the report of child abuse. There 12 is no evidence that Mr. Schultz did anything in an 13 official capacity. Certainly not to interfere.

At most, there's evidence suggesting that he failed to make some sort of report in 2001. I know the Court doesn't have that issue before it, but it does have the endangerment issue. The endangerment requires more than what the summary offense requires.

19 Summary offense is violated, at least 20 according to the Commonwealth. There is certain 21 prerequisites that apply. One simply fails to make a 22 report. What they've charged here is interfering with 23 the making of a report. There is no evidence before 24 this Court that Mr. Schultz did anything to interfere 25 with the making of any report of child abuse.

Thank you, Your Honor. 1 THE COURT: 2 Thank you, sir. 3 MR. BEEMER: Your Honor, it's a rather astounding series of circumstances that go through --4 start in 1998, go all the way up, really, into 2011, 5 2012. The Defense clearly is just misconstruing the 6 nature of the evidence. 7 It was really rather remarkable, the attempt 8 to gloss over the historical evidence from 2001. That 9 e-mail where there is a decision made on how to handle 10 this case. I could have submitted that e-mail to you, 11 Your Honor, and made out this case. 12 Now, we gave you quite a bit more. And what 13 does that establish? 14 It establishes that in 1998 -- you have all of 15 this evidence that there was tremendous interest in 16 17 what was going on from Gary Schultz, from Tim Curley, and you've heard the evidence from the witnesses who 18 testified about Mr. Schultz, Graham Spanier. They are 19 detail-oriented, micromanaging kind of guys. It is an 20 inconceivable position to take, based on the 21 22 documentary evidence, that all three of them did not know what was going on in 1998, and that they weren't 23 24 kept apprised. Because, if Dr. Spanier wasn't kept 25 apprised, then he's negligent.

You have an assistant football coach in the 1 most-high profile athletic team in a major university, 2 and the second most well-known person in that 3 department is being investigated for having contact 4 with a young boy on your campus, and Miss Ainslie 5 wants to stand up here and say, "Well he wouldn't know 6 what that was about because all it said was Joe 7 Paterno or Jerry." 8

9 I would submit that is completely inconsistent 10 with all the other evidence you heard in the case and 11 it's ridiculous.

I submit to you, Judge, that if it had been 12 1999 or 2000, and some member of the press came and 13 got ahold of the fact that there was a 1998 14 investigation, and they went to these guys, you know 15 what they would have said? They would have said, 16 17 "Yeah, of course we knew about it. The police investigated it, DPW looked at it, the D.A.'s office 18 declined to prosecute and nothing happened." 19

But it wasn't 1999 or 2000 when they finally were asked about it, it was 2011. And what happened in the interim?

In 2001, they get a report from Mike McQueary, and you don't have to make a credibility assessment at this stage, Your Honor, but I think his testimony

speaks for itself. You've now heard him twice. 1 I think the chain of events is remarkable. 2 He makes a report to Joe Paterno on Saturday, February 3 10th about something he sees on February 9th. As the 4 grand jury testimony indicates, he meets with Curley 5 and Schultz on that Sunday. Immediately there is a 6 7 plan of action. They meet with the university legal 8 counsel about suspected child abuse on a Sunday. On Monday, before anybody's ever talked to the 9 witness, Schultz formulates, in his notes, a plan of 10 Nobody -- they haven't even talked to Mike action. 11 12 McQueary yet. I think it suggests something. The evidence 13 is suggestive of the fact that they wanted this to go 14 a certain way. And if that certain way was to keep 15 out the police, the department of public welfare, 16 children and youth and every other agency that could 17 18 do a legitimate investigation into what Jerry Sandusky was doing, that's what they were going to do. 19 It is all laid out in those e-mails on 20 February 26th and 27th. 21 22 In fact, Curley says he is uncomfortable with 23 going to everyone but the person involved. I think I 24 would be more comfortable meeting with the person and 25 telling him about the information we received.

They feel responsibility to inform his 1 Spanier replies that the approach is 2 organization. acceptable to him, "Requires you to go a step forward, 3 but I admire your willingness to do that. The only 4 down side for us is if the message isn't heard and 5 acted upon and we then become vulnerable for not 6 7 having reported it. The approach you outlined is 8 humane and a reasonable way to proceed."

66

9

That is a question for the jury.

Now, the issue about obstruction of justice 10 and endangering the welfare of children, you saw the 11 testimony in the grand jury transcript. It's clear. 12 These guys were in charge of a large university that 13 by their own admission in their grand jury testimony 14 had thousands of children on their campus every year 15 for camps, for all sorts of activities. And they take 16 17 this position in 2001, where they are going to allow 18 Jerry Sandusky to continue to have access to the university. By their own admission in grand jury 19 testimony, specifically Mr. Curley, the directive he 20 gave was unenforceable. 21

So they continue to obstruct justice by not reporting this when they have a duty to do so to law enforcement.

25

But that doesn't end it, because there was a

conspiracy of silence and they agreed to do this. All
 the way up through 2011, the record is replete with
 the fact that they are continuing to lie, including
 under oath.

5 Why? Because they don't want to be exposed 6 for not reporting this, for not protecting children 7 and for avoiding the responsibilities that we all 8 tragically know came to fruition.

Agent Sassano testified about the victims that 9 were abused by Sandusky, some on Penn State's campus, 10 That endangerment was continuing and 11 some after. ongoing because after that statute was changed in 12 2007, more kids continue to be abused. 13 They are not relieved of criminal responsibility because their 14 conspiracy worked for 10 years. That's essentially 15 what they are asking for. 16

17 They should be relieved of the criminal 18 responsibility of endangering children; of obstructing 19 justice?

The statute applies. We're asking the Court to hold all of the charges. It's clear that Spanier lied about material facts during the course of his testimony. It's clear that the three of them obstructed justice through 2001, all the way up until the present. And their course of conduct led them to

DAUPHIN COUNTY COURT REPORTERS

endanger the welfare of children, a role in which they 1 had an overall supervisory role over the kids on this 2 campus. Otherwise, it would be an enormous problem at 3 Penn State. 4 For all of those reasons, ask that you hold 5 all of the charges that are currently before you for 6 7 court. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. I would like to first off commend the 9 attorneys that were with us, with me, the past two 10 days for their professionalism shown; the respect for 11 courtroom decorum. That means a lot to me as a 12 sitting magisterial district judge. 13 I would also like to thank the Commonwealth. 14 It is a tragic day for Penn State University 15 to say the least. But with respect to the charges 16 against Dr. Spanier, Mr. Schultz and Mr. Curley, I am 17 18 going to hold all the cases based upon a prima facie finding. 19 I will advise everyone in the courtroom, if 20 you want to leave, you can leave. 21 Obviously, the 22 Defendants and their attorneys and the prosecution 23 will be required to stay. I have a little paperwork 24 that I've got to do. 25 Jonesie, if you want to adjourn.

1	Everyone if you want to go, go.
2	(The proceedings were concluded.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	
2	CERTIFICATION
3	
4	
5	I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence
6	are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on
7	the hearing of the above cause, and that this is a correct
8	transcript of the same.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Date Nativa P. Wood, RDR
14	Official Court Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20 21	
21	
22	
23	
24	
20	

70

	2006 [3] - 59:16,	۸	afield [2] - 15:10,	19:6, 19:13, 20:16,
	61:20, 61:23	A	17:18	35:6, 35:7
'02 [2] - 6:18, 26:15	2007 [2] - 59:12,	a)(1 [2] - 49:5, 61:25	AFTERNOON [1] -	anthony [1] - 3:2
	67:13	a)(2 [1] - 62:9	5:3	ANTHONY [1] - 5:8
1	2007-2008 [1] - 8:5	abandon [1] - 16:2	afternoon [4] -	Anthony [1] - 5:14
4 4 4 9 4 9	2008 [4] - 21:1, 21:3,	absence [3] - 30:19,	13:12, 21:20, 33:20,	anyway [1] - 11:13
1 [4] - 1:23, 4:6,	21:7, 26:17	45:20	60:4	APPEARANCES [1] -
37:22, 38:19 10 [5] - 7:3, 13:20,	2009 [2] - 6:6, 60:20	absolutely [6] -	age [1] - 49:8	2:1
51:21, 52:5, 67:15	2010 [5] - 15:6,	18:13, 38:4, 48:21,	agencies [1] - 26:2	appeared [1] - 44:16
100,000 [1] - 41:8	15:17, 19:16, 19:17, 20:25	49:9, 50:19, 52:2	agency [3] - 6:11,	applies [1] - 67:20
10th [3] - 11:1, 11:2,	20.25 2011 [11] - 42:11,	abuse [25] - 7:12, 7:21, 8:2, 11:17,	65:17 Agent [8] - 5:6,	apply [2] - 50:1, 62:21
65:4	43:4, 44:15, 53:8,	11:18, 12:2, 13:16,	18:16, 33:20, 38:4,	apprised [2] - 63:24,
11 [1] - 4 :4	53:15, 54:18, 54:21,	13:21, 23:23, 29:1,	38:7, 39:6, 43:11,	63:25
1179 [2] - 54:5, 54:6	60:21, 63:5, 64:21,	29:7, 29:9, 29:10,	67:9	approach [2] - 66:2,
11th [2] - 11:6, 22:24	67:2	29:12, 29:14, 29:18,	agent [4] - 19:25,	66:7
12 [2] - 3:3, 51:18	2012 [1] - 63:6	29:22, 30:10, 31:19,	35:5, 38:21, 54:17	appropriate [1] -
13 [1] - 51:18	2013 [2] - 1:22, 5:2	31:21, 50:17, 50:22,	agents [1] - 6:2	46:21
15 [1] - 51:18	22nd [1] - 36:15	62:11, 62:25, 65:8	ago [6] - 8:3, 51:18,	appropriately [1] -
18 [1] - 49:8	23 [1] - 54:2	abused [5] - 8:4,	51:19, 52:23, 59:23	19:22
1979 [1] - 5:22 1998 [25] - 8:21, 9:3,	26th [1] - 65:21	30:7, 58:12, 67:10, 67:13	agree [1] - 24:11	April [2] - 53:15,
18:6, 18:10, 18:23,	27th [1] - 65:21	abuses [1] - 58:6	agreed [3] - 42:2, 43:24, 67:1	54:18
19:10, 19:15, 27:15,	28 [5] - 4:4, 10:8, 11:3, 12:4, 22:21	acceptable [1] - 66:3	agreement [1] -	area [1] - 17:18 argue [5] - 51:11,
27:18, 28:3, 28:5,	29 [1] - 1:22	access [1] - 66:18	48:14	51:14, 51:15, 51:23,
35:17, 35:19, 36:6,		according [3] - 27:2,	ahead [1] - 19:13	58:23
36:9, 37:5, 44:2, 44:9,	3	41:2, 62:20	ahold [1] - 64:14	argued [1] - 58:24
44:17, 44:19, 55:17,		account [1] - 10:13	aid [1] - 48:14	argument [1] - 53:20
63:5, 63:15, 63:23,	30 [2] - 5:2, 57:19	accurate [1] - 27:4	AINSLIE [22] - 2:10,	arguments [1] -
64:14 1999 [5] - 5:22, 5:23,	32 [2] - 3:4, 55:2	accurately [1] - 70:6	12:6, 33:19, 34:11,	39:17
1999 [5] - 5.22, 5.25,	35 [1] - 53:18	200101100 [2] - 16:13		
62.7 64.13 64.20		acquitted [2] - 16:13,	34:15, 34:21, 35:7,	arm [1] - 50:7
62:7, 64:13, 64:20	36 [1] - 4:6	16:23	35:13, 35:14, 36:17,	arrest [1] - 56:18
	36 [1] - 4:6	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7,	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23,	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9
2		16:23	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14,	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9 aspects [1] - 47:3
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23,	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9 aspects [1] - 47:3 assault [3] - 8:16,
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] -	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4,	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9 aspects [1] - 47:3
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7,	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11,	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9 aspects [1] - 47:3 assault [3] - 8:16, 9:16, 16:16
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7, 65:11 activities [2] - 24:18, 66:16	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5	arrest [1] - 56:18 ascertain [1] - 6:9 aspects [1] - 47:3 assault [3] - 8:16, 9:16, 16:16 assessment [1] -
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7, 65:11 activities [2] - 24:18, 66:16 activity [4] - 23:21,	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] -	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16}, \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7, 65:11 activities [2] - 24:18, 66:16 activity [4] - 23:21, 24:3, 24:12, 24:14	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13,	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16}, \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7, 65:11 activities [2] - 24:18, 66:16 activity [4] - 23:21, 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 addition [1] - 48:5	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16}, \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - } \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25	$16:23 \\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ acted [1] - 66:6 \\ action [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ activities [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ activity [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ addition [1] - 48:5 \\ additional [1] - 21:13 \\ \end {activity} [4] - 21:13 \\$	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13,	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - } \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001s [3] - 6:18,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6	16:23 act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, 48:14 acted [1] - 66:6 action [2] - 65:7, 65:11 activities [2] - 24:18, 66:16 activity [4] - 23:21, 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 addition [1] - 48:5	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6 allegations [1] -	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - 64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - 63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19	$16:23 \\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ acted [1] - 66:6 \\ action [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ activities [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ activity [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ addition [1] - 48:5 \\ additional [1] - 21:13 \\ address [4] - 40:22, \\ \end{cases}$	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6 allegations [1] - 43:22	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - } \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23	$16:23 \\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ acted [1] - 66:6 \\ action [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ activities [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ activity [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ addition [1] - 48:5 \\ additional [1] - 21:13 \\ address [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ addressed [1] - 59:10 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6 allegations [1] - 43:22 alleged [7] - 7:21, 16:6, 18:21, 20:10, 21:8, 54:1, 55:2	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] -} \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] -} \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23 \\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6 \\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5 \\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13 \\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ \textbf{addressed} [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25 \\ \end{array}$	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6 allegations [1] - 43:22 alleged [7] - 7:21, 16:6, 18:21, 20:10, 21:8, 54:1, 55:2 allegedly [1] - 55:6	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest} [1] - 56:18 \\ \mbox{ascertain} [1] - 6:9 \\ \mbox{aspects} [1] - 47:3 \\ \mbox{assault} [3] - 8:16, \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment} [1] - \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned} [1] - 6:2 \\ \mbox{assist} [1] - 54:17 \\ \mbox{assistant} [1] - 64:11 \\ \mbox{astounding} [1] - \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic} [3] - 55:3, \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempt} [2] - 6:8, \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23 \\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6 \\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5 \\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13 \\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ \textbf{addressed} [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25 \\ \textbf{administration} [3] - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{allegat}\ [7]-7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-38:20,\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] -} \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] -} \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempt [2] - 6:8,} \\ \mbox{63:8} \\ \mbox{attempted [1] - 60:18} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] -} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23 \\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6 \\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5 \\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13 \\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ \textbf{addressed} [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25 \\ \textbf{administration} [3] - \\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16 \\ \end{array}$	35:13, 35:14, 36:17, 36:19, 38:6, 38:23, 39:1, 39:3, 39:14, 39:18, 39:25, 40:4, 40:6, 51:2, 51:8 Ainslie [6] - 3:4, 38:18, 52:14, 57:11, 59:10, 64:5 allegation [4] - 28:22, 30:12, 30:13, 31:6 allegations [1] - 43:22 alleged [7] - 7:21, 16:6, 18:21, 20:10, 21:8, 54:1, 55:2 allegedly [1] - 55:6 allow [2] - 38:20, 66:17	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] -} \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] -} \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempt [2] - 6:8,} \\ \mbox{63:8} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] -} \\ \mbox{56:14} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23 \\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6 \\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5 \\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13 \\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ \textbf{addressed} [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25 \\ \textbf{administration} [3] - \\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16 \\ \textbf{administrative} [1] - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-\ 3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-\ 7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-\ 38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-\ 49:13\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - } \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempt [2] - 6:8,} \\ \mbox{63:8} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] - } \\ \mbox{56:14} \\ \mbox{Attorney [2] - 5:18,} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2 [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23,	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23\\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7,\\ 48:14\\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6\\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7,\\ 65:11\\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18,\\ 66:16\\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21,\\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14\\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5\\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13\\ \textbf{addresse} [4] - 40:22,\\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4\\ \textbf{addressed} [1] -\\ 59:10\\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25\\ \textbf{administration} [3] -\\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16\\ \textbf{administrative} [1] -\\ 42:3\\ \end{array}$	$35:13, 35:14, 36:17, \\36:19, 38:6, 38:23, \\39:1, 39:3, 39:14, \\39:18, 39:25, 40:4, \\40:6, 51:2, 51:8 \\ $	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - 6} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 62:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:11} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:12} \\ \mbox{atlenting [1] - 63:4} \\ \mbox{atlentite [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempted [1] - 60:18} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] - 56:14} \\ \mbox{Attorney [2] - 5:18,} \\ \mbox{52:14} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24 9	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23 \\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6 \\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5 \\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13 \\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ \textbf{addressed} [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25 \\ \textbf{administration} [3] - \\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16 \\ \textbf{administrative} [1] - \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-\ 3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-\ 7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-\ 38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-\ 49:13\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 60:18} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] - 60:18} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] - 56:14} \\ \mbox{Attorney [2] - 5:18, 52:14} \\ \mbox{attorney [7] - 5:24, 5:4} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7, 62:15, 63:9, 64:23,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23\\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7,\\ 48:14\\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6\\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7,\\ 65:11\\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18,\\ 66:16\\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21,\\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14\\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5\\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13\\ \textbf{addresse} [4] - 40:22,\\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4\\ \textbf{addressed} [1] -\\ 59:10\\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25\\ \textbf{administration} [3] -\\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16\\ \textbf{administrative} [1] -\\ 42:3\\ \textbf{admire} [1] - 66:4\\ \end{array}$	$35:13, 35:14, 36:17, \\36:19, 38:6, 38:23, \\39:1, 39:3, 39:14, \\39:18, 39:25, 40:4, \\40:6, 51:2, 51:8 \\ Ainslie [6] - 3:4, \\38:18, 52:14, 57:11, \\59:10, 64:5 \\allegation [4] - \\28:22, 30:12, 30:13, \\31:6 \\allegations [1] - \\43:22 \\alleged [7] - 7:21, \\16:6, 18:21, 20:10, \\21:8, 54:1, 55:2 \\allegedly [1] - 55:6 \\allow [2] - 38:20, \\66:17 \\allowing [1] - 49:13 \\almost [3] - 41:8, \\41:22, 51:17 \\Altoona [1] - 5:22 \\amount [1] - 24:17 \\ \end{cases}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest [1] - 56:18} \\ \mbox{ascertain [1] - 6:9} \\ \mbox{aspects [1] - 47:3} \\ \mbox{assault [3] - 8:16,} \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment [1] - } \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned [1] - 6:2} \\ \mbox{assist [1] - 54:17} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{assistant [1] - 64:1} \\ \mbox{astounding [1] - } \\ \mbox{63:4} \\ \mbox{athletic [3] - 55:3,} \\ \mbox{55:6, 64:2} \\ \mbox{attempt [2] - 6:8,} \\ \mbox{63:8} \\ \mbox{attempting [1] - } \\ \mbox{56:14} \\ \mbox{Attorney [2] - 5:18,} \\ \mbox{52:14} \\ \end{array} $
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [3] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7, 62:15, 63:9, 64:23, 66:17, 67:24	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41 :24 9 9 [4] - 4:4, 10:22,	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23\\ \textbf{act} [3] - 19:21, 48:7,\\ 48:14\\ \textbf{acted} [1] - 66:6\\ \textbf{action} [2] - 65:7,\\ 65:11\\ \textbf{activities} [2] - 24:18,\\ 66:16\\ \textbf{activity} [4] - 23:21,\\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14\\ \textbf{addition} [1] - 48:5\\ \textbf{additional} [1] - 21:13\\ \textbf{address} [4] - 40:22,\\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4\\ \textbf{addressed} [1] -\\ 59:10\\ \textbf{adjourn} [1] - 68:25\\ \textbf{administration} [3] -\\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16\\ \textbf{administrative} [1] -\\ 42:3\\ \textbf{admire} [1] - 66:4\\ \textbf{admission} [3] - 12:4,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-\ 38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-\ 49:13\\ \hline \textbf{almost}\ [3]-\ 41:8,\\ 41:22,\ 51:17\\ \hline \textbf{Altoona}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 24:17\\ \hline \textbf{amounts}\ [2]-\ 40:13,\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{arrest} [1] - 56:18 \\ \mbox{ascertain} [1] - 6:9 \\ \mbox{aspects} [1] - 47:3 \\ \mbox{assault} [3] - 8:16, \\ \mbox{9:16, 16:16} \\ \mbox{assessment} [1] - \\ \mbox{64:24} \\ \mbox{assigned} [1] - 6:2 \\ \mbox{assist} [1] - 54:17 \\ \mbox{assistant} [1] - 64:1 \\ \mbox{assistant} [1] - 65:3, \\ \mbox{52:6, 64:2 \\ \mbox{attempting} [1] - 60:18 \\ \mbox{attempting} [1] - \\ \mbox{56:14 \\ \mbox{Attorney} [2] - 5:18, \\ \mbox{52:14 \\ \mbox{attorney} [7] - 5:24, \\ \mbox{11:25, 23:1, 24:4, \\ \mbox{attempting} [1] - \\ \mbox{56:26} \mbox{attempting}$
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7, 62:15, 63:9, 64:23, 66:17, 67:24 2002 [2] - 25:20,	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24 9 9 [4] - 4:4, 10:22, 35:17, 36:6	$16:23 \\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ acted [1] - 66:6 \\ action [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ activities [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ activity [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ addition [1] - 48:5 \\ additional [1] - 21:13 \\ address [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ addressed [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ adjourn [1] - 68:25 \\ administration [3] - \\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16 \\ administrative [1] - \\ 42:3 \\ admire [1] - 66:4 \\ admission [3] - 12:4, \\ 66:14, 66:19 \\ ADMITTED [1] - 4:3 \\ advise [1] - 68:20 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-\ 38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-\ 49:13\\ \hline \textbf{almost}\ [3]-\ 41:8,\\ 41:22,\ 51:17\\ \hline \textbf{Altoona}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 24:17\\ \hline \textbf{amounts}\ [2]-\ 40:13,\\ 48:9\\ \end{array}$	$arrest [1] - 56:18 \\ ascertain [1] - 6:9 \\ aspects [1] - 47:3 \\ assault [3] - 8:16, \\ 9:16, 16:16 \\ assessment [1] - \\ 64:24 \\ assigned [1] - 6:2 \\ assist [1] - 54:17 \\ assistant [1] - 64:1 \\ assounding [1] - \\ 63:4 \\ athletic [3] - 55:3, \\ 55:6, 64:2 \\ attempt [2] - 6:8, \\ 63:8 \\ attempted [1] - 60:18 \\ attempting [1] - \\ 56:14 \\ Attorney [2] - 5:18, \\ 52:14 \\ attorney [7] - 5:24, \\ 11:25, 23:1, 24:4, \\ 35:3, 52:11, 54:21 \\ \end {assument} \\ \end {assumen$
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 20 [1] - 3:3 2000 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7, 62:15, 63:9, 64:23, 66:17, 67:24	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24 9 9 [4] - 4:4, 10:22, 35:17, 36:6 9th [4] - 36:9, 37:5,	$\begin{array}{c} 16:23\\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7,\\ 48:14\\ acted [1] - 66:6\\ action [2] - 65:7,\\ 65:11\\ activities [2] - 24:18,\\ 66:16\\ activity [4] - 23:21,\\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14\\ addition [1] - 48:5\\ additional [1] - 21:13\\ address [4] - 40:22,\\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4\\ addressed [1] -\\ 59:10\\ adjourn [1] - 68:25\\ administration [3] -\\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16\\ administrative [1] -\\ 42:3\\ admire [1] - 66:4\\ admission [3] - 12:4,\\ 66:14, 66:19\\ ADMITTED [1] - 4:3\\ advise [1] - 68:20\\ affiant [1] - 18:18\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [1]-\\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-49:13\\ \hline \textbf{almost}\ [3]-41:8,\\ 41:22,\ 51:17\\ \hline \textbf{Altoona}\ [1]-\\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [2]-40:13,\\ 48:9\\ \hline \textbf{announced}\ [1]-\\ \end{array}$	$arrest [1] - 56:18 \\ ascertain [1] - 6:9 \\ aspects [1] - 47:3 \\ assault [3] - 8:16, \\ 9:16, 16:16 \\ assessment [1] - \\ 64:24 \\ assigned [1] - 6:2 \\ assist [1] - 54:17 \\ assistant [1] - 64:1 \\ astounding [1] - \\ 63:4 \\ athletic [3] - 55:3, \\ 55:6, 64:2 \\ attempted [1] - 60:18 \\ attempted [1] - 60:18 \\ attempting [1] - \\ 56:14 \\ Attorney [2] - 5:18, \\ 52:14 \\ attorney [7] - 5:24, \\ 11:25, 23:1, 24:4, \\ 35:3, 52:11, 54:21 \\ ATTORNEY [1] - 22:3 \\ attorney [3] - 28:12, \\ \end{cases}$
2 2 [5] - 1:19, 25:3, 25:5, 25:6 2.5 [1] - 25:5 2.9 [2] - 12:2, 24:20 2.90 [1] - 11:23 200 [2] - 64:13, 64:20 2000s [1] - 9:24 2001 [33] - 6:18, 7:13, 10:22, 11:2, 11:6, 16:9, 18:22, 19:20, 20:11, 20:13, 20:20, 20:25, 21:7, 22:24, 25:16, 26:2, 27:13, 28:7, 28:18, 31:7, 34:6, 44:24, 57:18, 59:15, 59:16, 60:16, 61:22, 62:7, 62:15, 63:9, 64:23, 66:17, 67:24 2002 [2] - 25:20, 25:24	36 [1] - 4:6 4 4 [1] - 3:2 4000-450061 [1] - 23:15 4304(a)(1 [1] - 48:25 4304(a)(2 [1] - 50:6 4304(a)(2) [1] - 48:25 45 [1] - 57:19 6 64,000 [1] - 41:23 8 84,000 [2] - 41:23, 41:24 9 9 [4] - 4:4, 10:22, 35:17, 36:6 9th [4] - 36:9, 37:5,	$16:23 \\ act [3] - 19:21, 48:7, \\ 48:14 \\ acted [1] - 66:6 \\ action [2] - 65:7, \\ 65:11 \\ activities [2] - 24:18, \\ 66:16 \\ activity [4] - 23:21, \\ 24:3, 24:12, 24:14 \\ addition [1] - 48:5 \\ additional [1] - 21:13 \\ address [4] - 40:22, \\ 53:2, 53:3, 53:4 \\ addressed [1] - \\ 59:10 \\ adjourn [1] - 68:25 \\ administration [3] - \\ 48:1, 49:12, 49:16 \\ administrative [1] - \\ 42:3 \\ admire [1] - 66:4 \\ admission [3] - 12:4, \\ 66:14, 66:19 \\ ADMITTED [1] - 4:3 \\ advise [1] - 68:20 \\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{l} 35:13,\ 35:14,\ 36:17,\\ 36:19,\ 38:6,\ 38:23,\\ 39:1,\ 39:3,\ 39:14,\\ 39:18,\ 39:25,\ 40:4,\\ 40:6,\ 51:2,\ 51:8\\ \hline \textbf{Ainslie}\ [6]-3:4,\\ 38:18,\ 52:14,\ 57:11,\\ 59:10,\ 64:5\\ \hline \textbf{allegation}\ [4]-\\ 28:22,\ 30:12,\ 30:13,\\ 31:6\\ \hline \textbf{allegations}\ [1]-\\ 43:22\\ \hline \textbf{alleged}\ [7]-7:21,\\ 16:6,\ 18:21,\ 20:10,\\ 21:8,\ 54:1,\ 55:2\\ \hline \textbf{allegedly}\ [1]-\ 55:6\\ \hline \textbf{allow}\ [2]-\ 38:20,\\ 66:17\\ \hline \textbf{allowing}\ [1]-\ 49:13\\ \hline \textbf{almost}\ [3]-\ 41:8,\\ 41:22,\ 51:17\\ \hline \textbf{Altoona}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 5:22\\ \hline \textbf{amount}\ [1]-\ 24:17\\ \hline \textbf{amounts}\ [2]-\ 40:13,\\ 48:9\\ \end{array}$	arrest $[1] - 56:18$ ascertain $[1] - 6:9$ aspects $[1] - 47:3$ assault $[3] - 8:16$, 9:16, 16:16 assessment $[1] - 64:24$ assigned $[1] - 6:2$ assist $[1] - 54:17$ assistant $[1] - 64:1$ astounding $[1] - 63:4$ athletic $[3] - 55:3$, 55:6, 64:2 attempted $[1] - 60:18$ attempted $[1] - 60:18$ attempting $[1] - 56:14$ Attorney $[2] - 5:18$, 52:14 attorney $[7] - 5:24$, 11:25, 23:1, 24:4, 35:3, 52:11, 54:21 ATTORNEY $[1] - 22:3$ attorney's $[1] - 22:14$

	ī — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —			
AUGUST [1] - 1:22	big [1] - 35:4	care [2] - 49:20,	child [39] - 6:10,	comment [1] - 40:17
aunts [1] - 49:20	billing [8] - 10:4,	49:25	6:22, 11:17, 11:18,	comments [1] - 23:2
	_		12:2, 13:16, 13:21,	
available [1] - 50:4	10:12, 10:15, 22:10,	career [1] - 5:20	14:5, 23:23, 26:19,	commit [5] - 48:12,
averments [1] - 55:1	22:14, 24:3, 43:17,	Carol [1] - 26:10		48:14, 55:22, 56:9,
avoid [1] - 56:13	43:18	CAROLINE [1] - 2:5	28:25, 29:7, 29:9,	57:8
avoiding [1] - 67:7	bit [2] - 14:22, 63:13	Caroline [1] - 13:15	29:14, 29:18, 30:6,	committed [1] - 48:7
aware [7] - 17:22,	Blasko [7] - 9:21,	Carolyn [3] - 36:23,	31:21, 34:9, 34:18,	COMMON [3] - 1:1,
18:6, 19:1, 19:4,	9:24, 10:12, 11:10,	36:25, 37:2	46:14, 48:23, 49:8,	1:6, 1:11
19:10, 19:14, 60:24	11:25, 22:10, 43:17	case [28] - 7:3, 11:9,	49:10, 49:22, 49:24,	common [1] - 58:19
	book [1] - 56:5	16:9, 22:16, 28:1,	50:8, 50:9, 50:11,	commonsensical [1]
В	bottom [1] - 33:7	28:15, 29:25, 34:12,	50:13, 50:17, 50:22,	- 58:19
	boundaries [1] -	41:13, 50:1, 51:3,	53:3, 61:19, 62:2,	cOMMONWEALTH
BARKER [1] - 2:2	46:20	52:24, 58:1, 58:8,	62:3, 62:11, 62:25,	[1] - 1:1
based [4] - 27:14,	boxes [2] - 55:2,	58:16, 59:1, 59:2,	65:8	COMMONWEALTH
58:20, 63:21, 68:18	55:5	59:8, 59:24, 59:25,	child's [1] - 34:16	[4] - 1:6, 1:11, 3:1, 4:3
basis [2] - 37:18,	boy [3] - 9:11, 17:6,	61:7, 61:8, 61:24,	Children [2] - 18:7,	Commonwealth [20]
52:4	64:5	62:5, 63:11, 63:12,	18:10	- 2:4, 5:6, 6:12, 6:25,
bearing [2] - 21:14,	Braden [4] - 37:19,	64:10	children [27] - 9:8,	39:9, 47:24, 49:3,
41:25	42:25, 54:16, 54:22	cases [1] - 68:18	9:18, 13:25, 17:22,	49:5, 50:7, 56:16,
bears [1] - 42:18	breached [1] - 48:6	category [1] - 13:6	18:2, 18:24, 46:22,	57:1, 57:23, 58:1,
beating [1] - 58:7	Brian [1] - 13:14	central [2] - 11:14,	46:23, 49:11, 49:21,	58:23, 59:12, 59:18,
became [2] - 32:10	BRIAN [1] - 2:5	23:18	57:11, 57:13, 57:16,	61:19, 61:24, 62:20,
become [3] - 19:10,	bridge [1] - 45:15	Centre [8] - 6:23,	57:24, 58:5, 58:6,	68:14
19:14, 66:6	• • •	13:24, 18:6, 18:10,	58:9, 58:12, 58:15,	Commonwealth's
BEEMER [28] - 2:1,	brief [1] - 60:8	26:6, 26:11, 28:7,	59:8, 62:5, 65:17,	[4] - 10:8, 11:3, 55:19,
5:12, 12:4, 12:8, 13:8,	briefly [3] - 5:20,	31:3	66:11, 66:15, 67:6,	[4] - 10.8, 11.3, 55.19, 58:11
14:20, 15:9, 15:13,	40:7, 52:15		67:18, 68:1	
15:23, 17:17, 18:12,	bring [6] - 29:24,	certain [7] - 7:8,	circumstances [3] -	community [1] -
18:14, 19:3, 19:12,	38:8, 46:22, 53:16,	29:22, 31:11, 31:12,	59:22, 59:25, 63:4	49:13
	59:20, 60:18	62:20, 65:15	citizen [1] - 61:11	complaint [2] - 29:2,
19:24, 20:14, 21:10,	bringing [3] - 34:22,	certainly [7] - 37:5,	City [1] - 5:22	45:5
30:17, 34:10, 34:19,	45:8, 51:3	45:23, 46:7, 49:15,	-	complaints [1] -
34:25, 38:3, 38:10,	brings [1] - 51:17	52:2, 61:16, 62:13	clarify [1] - 10:18	21:13
39:5, 39:9, 50:23,	brought [1] - 53:10	CERTIFICATION [1]	clear [6] - 32:20,	complete [1] - 17:2
51:6, 63:3	BRUCE [1] - 2:1	- 70:2	48:17, 49:19, 66:12,	completely [4] -
Beemer [4] - 5:5,	Building [1] - 7:23	certify [1] - 70:5	67:21, 67:23	15:24, 18:15, 38:11,
34:1, 37:23, 39:8	bunch [1] - 32:16	chain [1] - 65:2	clearly [3] - 18:15,	64:9
BEFORE [1] - 1:21	burden [3] - 47:10,	changed [3] - 42:3,	55:17, 63:6	complies [1] - 38:1
befuddled [1] - 56:4	47:11, 47:12	59:17, 67:12	client's [1] - 61:14	compliment [1] -
beg [1] - 40:4	Bureau [1] - 5:19	charge [10] - 41:6,	clients [1] - 59:20	21:23
beginning [1] - 42:11	business [2] - 23:4,	49:3, 50:3, 55:12,	closed [1] - 44:8	complying [1] -
behalf [4] - 38:8,	32:5	56:5, 57:8, 57:12,	closing [1] - 39:17	56:13
39:11, 39:13, 39:15	BY [17] - 5:12, 12:8,	59:19, 66:13	co [4] - 40:17, 40:22,	computers [1] -
behavior [2] - 35:19,	13:11, 14:25, 15:16,	charged [14] - 20:1,	48:18, 60:13	42:22
45:18	16:5, 17:21, 19:9,	20:3, 20:4, 20:17,	co-conspirators [1] -	concerning [3] -
behind [2] - 17:5,	19:18, 20:9, 20:19,	20:20, 21:1, 21:5,	48:18	27:13, 52:16, 55:2
45:11	21:19, 30:25, 33:19,	21:6, 50:24, 56:23,	co-counsel [3] -	concluded [2] -
belabor [1] - 52:13	34:15, 35:14, 36:19	59:11, 61:20, 62:9,	40:17, 40:22, 60:13	35:18, 69:2
Belcher [2] - 33:11,	JT. 10, JJ. 17, JU. 18	62:22	Coach [1] - 10:24	conclusion [1] -
41:18	<u>^</u>	charges [18] - 8:20,	coach [1] - 64:1	18:11
belief [1] - 20:24	C	9:9, 16:14, 18:24,	Coble [1] - 41:14	conclusions [1] -
belonged [1] - 55:3	camps [1] - 66:16	21:12, 33:14, 41:25,	colleagues [1] -	18:4
bench [4] - 40:1,	campus [7] - 7:22,	42:14, 42:19, 44:14,	52:11	conditions [1] - 30:3
40:10, 47:2, 56:5	46:23, 49:18, 64:5,	49:1, 51:13, 57:14,	collect [1] - 54:13	
beside [1] - 11:22	40.23, 49.18, 04.3, 66:15, 67:10, 68:3	60:14, 67:21, 68:6,	collected [1] - 54:12	conduct [5] - 9:10,
better [2] - 33:11,	cannot [5] - 25:11,	68:16	collection [1] - 54:7	28:10, 61:22, 67:25
36:1	27:11, 28:10, 56:9,	CHARLES [1] - 1:9	column [1] - 24:16	conducted [1] - 27:22
	56:12	check [3] - 6:21,		
between [2] - 20:25,		25:15, 26:2	comfortable [1] -	Conference [2] -
21:7	capacity [6] - 50:9,		65:24	11:15, 11:21
beyond [3] - 14:21,	50:10, 50:11, 62:4,	checked [2] - 26:4,	coming [1] - 39:23	conference [11] -
18:15, 61:10	62:10, 62:13	26:24	commend [1] - 68:9	23:22, 23:24, 24:1,

24:2, 24:8, 24:9,	copy [3] - 28:17,	Court's [1] - 40:4	current [1] - 5:25	department [6] -
24:10, 24:23, 25:1,	38:24, 59:1	COURTHOUSE [1] -	CYS [17] - 6:23, 26:6,	27:21, 29:3, 55:4,
25:5, 25:7	'			
	correct [22] - 7:5,	1:24	26:11, 26:24, 27:2,	55:6, 64:4, 65:16
conflicted [1] - 42:23	7:9, 7:10, 14:7, 16:7,	courtney [1] - 43:21	28:7, 28:21, 28:25,	depriving [1] - 58:7
conflicting [1] -	16:25, 17:1, 17:25,	Courtney [2] - 11:24,	29:6, 29:23, 30:12,	describe [2] - 5:20,
42:22	23:25, 24:19, 24:21,	25:10	30:13, 31:1, 31:3,	17:16
confronted [2] -	25:13, 25:21, 27:1,	COURTROOM [1] -	31:8, 31:17	described [1] - 17:12
45:4, 57:22	27:5, 27:11, 27:20,	1:23		description [3] -
confused [2] - 57:7,	29:11, 31:18, 34:8,	courtroom [3] -	D	22:25, 23:11, 23:17
57:8	37:5, 70:7	39:21, 68:12, 68:20	2	descriptions [1] -
confusing [2] -	correspond [3] -	courts [1] - 58:17	D.A.'s [2] - 29:3,	24:12
26:21, 49:2	23:15, 23:18, 23:22		64:18	
<i>'</i>	, ,	covered [2] - 26:15,	daily [1] - 37:17	designed [1] - 58:5
conjunction [1] -	Corro [6] - 41:20,	52:13	dark [1] - 42:10	desire [1] - 45:3
60:16	42:24, 53:10, 53:12,	CP-22-MD-1385-		detail [1] - 63:20
consistency [3] -	54:10, 54:16	2012 [1] - 1:4	date [11] - 10:20,	detail-oriented [1] -
14:9, 14:19, 15:1	corruption [3] - 9:7,	CP-22-MD-1386-	10:21, 10:23, 24:4,	63:20
consistent [2] -	9:17, 16:19	2012 [1] - 1:9	26:3, 32:8, 33:13,	determination [1] -
14:10, 14:11	counsel [9] - 39:17,	CP-22-MD-1387-	37:2, 37:10, 42:5,	31:9
consistently [1] -	40:17, 40:22, 43:19,	2012 [1] - 1:14	70:13	determine [2] - 13:2,
45:25	43:20, 54:11, 54:15,	credenza [1] - 33:4	DATE [1] - 1:22	19:5
conspiracy [14] -	60:13, 65:8		dated [2] - 11:6, 36:5	determined [2] -
48:11, 48:12, 53:5,	country [5] - 36:8,	credenza/bookcase	Dauphin [1] - 31:2	
	36:11, 36:12, 36:14,	[1] - 33:6	DAUPHIN [4] - 1:2,	29:18, 31:16
55:22, 55:24, 57:8,		credibility [2] -	1:7, 1:12, 1:24	deviant [1] - 45:18
60:1, 60:14, 60:17,	44:22	40:17, 64:24	days [11] - 8:22,	deviate [2] - 8:14,
61:7, 61:9, 67:1,	County [6] - 6:23,	crime [5] - 8:11,		16:24
67:15	13:24, 18:6, 18:10,	49:15, 56:10, 56:18,	35:16, 37:4, 40:8,	diaries [1] - 36:21
conspirators [1] -	31:2, 31:3	59:3	42:10, 44:1, 47:1,	difference [1] - 35:4
48:18	COUNTY [4] - 1:2,	criminal [15] - 8:20,	57:19, 58:6, 60:7,	different [3] - 25:14,
consulted [1] - 43:19	1:7, 1:12, 1:24	9:2, 9:9, 9:14, 18:24,	68:11	31:19, 59:15
contact [8] - 8:17,	couple [1] - 44:1	21:13, 28:11, 35:19,	deal [3] - 22:13,	differing [2] - 18:3
9:7, 9:11, 9:17, 16:22,	course [13] - 6:8,	44:8, 44:14, 48:15,	37:13, 45:1	direct [1] - 14:21
41:10, 64:4	9:19, 12:9, 13:1,	48:20, 53:5, 67:14,	dealing [5] - 12:2,	DIRECT [2] - 3:1,
contained [1] - 70:6	22:14, 28:9, 35:16,	67:17	13:3, 19:15, 46:6,	5:11
containing [1] -	48:17, 57:3, 57:15,	criteria [1] - 29:22	51:19	-
12:12	64:17, 67:22, 67:25		December [4] - 5:23,	directed [1] - 54:6
continue [3] - 66:18,	court [6] - 7:8, 53:8,	critical [2] - 41:25,	19:16, 54:20, 54:21	directive [1] - 66:20
66:22, 67:13	55:20, 57:2, 58:20,	45:19	decide [1] - 30:14	director [2] - 6:1,
<i>'</i>	68:7	criticism [1] - 45:13	decided [1] - 27:9	26:10
continuing [3] -	COURT [35] - 1:1,	cross [3] - 13:9,	decision [9] - 34:17,	disappeared [1] -
58:21, 67:3, 67:11		17:18, 45:15	•••	43:1
contradict [1] - 46:5	1:6, 1:11, 5:4, 12:7,	CROSS [2] - 3:1,	35:3, 51:7, 57:18,	disappointed [1] -
contrary [2] - 61:1,	14:24, 15:15, 16:2,	13:10	57:19, 58:10, 58:15, 58:21, 63:10	60:9
61:7	17:19, 19:6, 19:13,	cross-examination	58:21, 63:10	discussed [1] - 57:6
control [1] - 18:19	20:2, 21:11, 21:18,	[1] - 17:18	declined [1] - 64:19	discussion [1] -
conundrum [1] -	30:21, 33:18, 34:13,	CROSS-	decorum [1] - 68:12	38:15
43:6	35:5, 35:10, 38:14,	EXAMINATION [1] -	defendant [1] - 56:12	dismissed [1] - 39:6
conversation [1] -	38:17, 38:25, 39:6,	13:10	DEFENDANT [1] -	DISTRICT [1] - 1:21
41:3	39:10, 39:16, 39:19,	culpability [1] -	4:5	district [1] - 68:13
convicted [4] - 8:7,	40:3, 40:5, 52:9, 56:3,	41:12	Defendant [5] - 2:6,	
9:5, 16:16, 20:17	60:3, 60:5, 60:10,	CURLEY [1] - 1:4	2:9, 2:11, 56:17,	DITKA [1] - 2:2
conviction [1] - 9:2	63:2, 68:8		56:22	doctors [1] - 49:21
convictions [3] -	Court [27] - 7:11,	Curley [25] - 2:6,	Defendants [4] -	document [9] - 9:20,
	35:1, 35:9, 38:5, 40:2,	13:15, 19:21, 25:19,	39:12, 52:2, 60:16,	10:3, 25:11, 32:7,
9:14, 18:21, 34:23	42:1, 50:25, 51:6,	33:15, 39:15, 42:16,	68:22	33:2, 38:18, 38:20,
cook [1] - 42:20	51:25, 52:8, 52:10,	45:7, 48:19, 52:12,	Defense [1] - 63:6	55:7, 55:21
Cook [2] - 42:25,	52:23, 52:24, 53:21,	52:16, 53:3, 53:9,	defensive [1] - 46:8	documentary [1] -
54:16	55:10, 55:25, 56:1,	55:16, 57:2, 57:15,		63:22
cooperate [1] - 61:11	58:17, 58:25, 59:1,	57:17, 57:20, 58:13,	defines [1] - 58:3	documents [6] -
coordinator [1] -	59:2, 59:21, 60:19,	59:7, 63:17, 65:5,	definitely [1] - 30:10	10:19, 12:24, 32:3,
46:8	62:16, 62:24, 67:20,	65:22, 66:20, 68:17	degree [1] - 58:4	32:24, 55:15, 60:22
copied [2] - 44:11,	70:14	Curley's [2] - 45:2,	Department [3] -	Dolbin [3] - 36:23,
44:20	10.14	53:13	5:23, 28:2, 28:16	36:25, 37:2

done [2] - 22:8,	elements [5] - 47:5,	51:20, 51:21, 65:2	59:2, 64:14, 65:14,	47:22, 49:4, 49:6,
28:14	47:22, 49:6, 57:11	eventually [1] -	65:22, 67:3	50:22, 68:9
door [1] - 34:22	ELIZABETH [1] -	12:17	facts [3] - 46:25,	fit [2] - 13:6, 53:17
down [3] - 38:12,	2:10	evidence [50] -	55:1, 67:22	five [2] - 7:18, 7:20
47:6, 66:5	embellishment [1] -	36:18, 40:7, 40:12,	failed [2] - 50:17,	fixing [1] - 33:13
DPW [5] - 26:5, 26:6,	52:20	40:15, 41:25, 43:10,	62:15	fled [1] - 56:17
	emphasized [1] -	45:17, 45:20, 47:15,	failing [1] - 45:14	
27:18, 28:7, 64:18		47:19, 48:3, 48:4,	• • •	fledged [1] - 43:23
Dr [45] - 36:20, 37:3,	14:17	48:8, 48:10, 48:21,	fails [1] - 62:21	focus [2] - 47:6,
37:13, 38:8, 40:8,	employed [1] - 5:17	49:9, 50:14, 50:19,	failure [3] - 50:21,	47:23
40:12, 40:24, 40:25,	employee [2] - 13:6,	52:1, 52:15, 52:17,	56:24, 57:5	folder [1] - 12:10
41:1, 41:3, 41:6,	62:8	54:8, 55:12, 55:15,	fair [2] - 20:2, 20:18	followed [1] - 40:25
41:10, 41:12, 41:15,	employees [1] - 41:8	57:1, 57:15, 57:23,	fairly [1] - 48:17	following [2] - 7:18,
41:19, 42:10, 42:15,	empty [3] - 12:14,	59:6, 59:23, 60:15,	fall [1] - 49:1	11:1
43:1, 43:10, 43:12,	12:18, 41:22	60:17, 60:23, 60:25,	false [1] - 47:18	follows [1] - 5:10
44:10, 44:16, 44:23,	end [5] - 14:23,		far [4] - 15:15, 17:18,	food [2] - 30:6, 58:7
45:17, 45:21, 45:24,	14:24, 40:23, 42:10,	61:8, 61:9, 61:21,	25:3, 40:13	football [1] - 64:1
47:13, 47:15, 47:19,	66:25	61:22, 62:3, 62:12,	far-fetched [1] -	FOR [3] - 3:1, 4:3,
47:24, 47:25, 48:5,	endanger [1] - 68:1	62:14, 62:23, 63:7, 63:0, 63:16, 63:18	40:13	4:5
48:13, 48:19, 49:6,	endangered [2] -	63:9, 63:16, 63:18, 63:22, 64:10, 65:13	FARRELL [11] - 2:7,	force [1] - 48:6
49:12, 49:15, 49:23,	49:24, 57:16	63:22, 64:10, 65:13, 70:5	12:5, 21:19, 30:19,	formulates [1] -
50:2, 52:2, 52:12,	endangering [15] -	70:5	30:23, 30:25, 33:17,	65:10
53:14, 63:24, 68:17	9:8, 9:18, 16:19,	exactly [4] - 12:25,	39:13, 60:4, 60:6,	forth [3] - 40:10,
drawer [1] - 33:4	48:22, 49:10, 50:7,	33:1, 36:15, 41:25	60:11	42:9, 42:11
drawers [1] - 33:7	57:10, 57:13, 59:8,	examination [1] -	Farrell [3] - 3:3,	forward [6] - 17:9,
Duane [4] - 32:9,	59:9, 59:11, 60:1,	17:18	21:21, 43:20	19:19, 21:9, 57:24,
32:10, 32:12, 32:24	61:18, 66:11, 67:18	EXAMINATION [2] -	fast [1] - 19:19	58:10, 66:3
duly [1] - 5:9	endangerment [4] -	5:11, 13:10	February [11] - 7:13,	foster [1] - 49:20
during [6] - 6:7, 9:19,	58:4, 62:17, 67:11	examine [1] - 51:25	10:22, 11:1, 11:2,	foundation [1] -
12:9, 13:1, 18:17,	ending [1] - 24:2	example [2] - 25:7,	11:6, 22:24, 34:6,	53:21
67:22	enforcement [6] -	56:17	44:24, 65:3, 65:4,	founded [4] - 18:8,
duty [11] - 48:7,	5:21, 6:10, 13:25,	except [1] - 52:20	65:21	18:11, 18:25, 46:9
49:24, 50:2, 56:19,	17:23, 18:3, 66:24	exhibit [1] - 22:18	fellow [1] - 61:2	founder [1] - 46:19
56:20, 56:21, 56:24,	enormous [1] - 68:3	Exhibit [1] - 10:8	felony [3] - 57:14,	four [3] - 33:7, 47:7,
57:5, 57:6, 66:23	entered [2] - 38:20,	EXHIBITS [1] - 4:1	58:4, 58:22	48:22
	48:13	expects [1] - 59:18	felt [1] - 53:6	frame [3] - 8:5, 11:4,
E	entertaining [1] -	experts [1] - 37:20	fetched [1] - 40:13	19:17
e-mail [13] - 35:17,	50:25	explain [3] - 25:10,	file [9] - 12:10, 12:11,	frankly [1] - 53:16
37:20, 42:3, 43:1,	entire [1] - 10:14	25:12, 43:5	26:7, 32:2, 32:21,	Friday [1] - 10:20
44:23, 45:2, 45:19,	entirely [1] - 51:18	explainable [1] -	33:4, 41:22, 56:2,	front [2] - 22:19,
	entities [1] - 6:21	45:2	61:5	52:22
45:22, 46:5, 46:17, 55:16, 63:10, 63:11	entry [4] - 22:23,	explanation [1] -	filed [6] - 6:16, 6:19,	fruition (4) 67.9
	00.04 00.44 00.00			fruition [1] - 67:8
o-maile [16] - 35.21	22:24, 23:14, 23:22	43:7	9:10, 18:24, 33:14,	full [1] - 43:23
e-mails [16] - 35:21,	episode [3] - 35:19,	exposed [1] - 67:5		full [1] - 43:23
37:17, 41:24, 42:4,		exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16,	
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9,	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] -	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] -
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12,	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20,	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18,	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21,	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20,	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6 function [3] - 48:2,
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14,	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20 eyes [1] - 17:10	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6 function [3] - 48:2, 56:11, 56:15
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14, 54:21	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1,	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4,	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6 function [3] - 48:2, 56:11, 56:15 G
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14, 54:21 effect [1] - 59:16	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10 essentially [3] -	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20 eyes [1] - 17:10 F	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6 function [3] - 48:2, 56:11, 56:15 G garbage [1] - 22:8
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14, 54:21 effect [1] - 59:16 effusive [1] - 42:17	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20 eyes [1] - 17:10 F facie [6] - 15:25,	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5 finance/business [2]	full [1] - 43:23 full-fledged [1] - 43:23 fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6 function [3] - 48:2, 56:11, 56:15 G
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14, 54:21 effect [1] - 59:16 effusive [1] - 42:17 eight [2] - 7:4, 34:1	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{episode [3] - 35:19,} \\ \textbf{44:17, 44:19} \\ \textbf{equals [1] - 11:23} \\ \textbf{erased [1] - 43:4} \\ \textbf{especially [1] - 54:8} \\ \textbf{ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1,} \\ \textbf{2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7,} \\ \textbf{2:8, 2:10} \\ \textbf{essentially [3] -} \\ \textbf{12:14, 12:18, 67:15} \\ \textbf{establish [1] - 63:14} \end{array}$	exposed [1] - 67:5 express [1] - 42:15 expressions [1] - 42:17 extreme [1] - 29:20 eyes [1] - 17:10 F facie [6] - 15:25, 16:1, 52:24, 59:7,	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5 finance/business [2] - 11:14, 23:18	full [1] - 43:23 $full-fledged [1] -$ $43:23$ $fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6$ $function [3] - 48:2,$ $56:11, 56:15$ G $garbage [1] - 22:8$ $GARY [1] - 1:9$ $Gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,$
37:17, 41:24, 42:4, 42:6, 43:25, 44:9, 44:20, 53:13, 54:12, 54:14, 55:15, 57:18, 59:14, 61:2, 65:20 early [2] - 53:14, 54:21 effect [1] - 59:16 effusive [1] - 42:17 eight [2] - 7:4, 34:1 either [6] - 6:10,	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{episode [3] - 35:19,} \\ \textbf{44:17, 44:19} \\ \textbf{equals [1] - 11:23} \\ \textbf{erased [1] - 43:4} \\ \textbf{especially [1] - 54:8} \\ \textbf{ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1,} \\ \textbf{2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7,} \\ \textbf{2:8, 2:10} \\ \textbf{essentially [3] -} \\ \textbf{12:14, 12:18, 67:15} \\ \textbf{establish [1] - 63:14} \\ \textbf{established [1] -} \end{array}$	exposed [1] - 67:5express [1] - 42:15expressions [1] -42:17extreme [1] - 29:20eyes [1] - 17:10 F facie [6] - 15:25, 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, 59:24, 68:18	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5 finance/business [2] - 11:14, 23:18 fine [4] - 37:21, 51:2,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \mbox{G} \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{gARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}\left[2\right]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}\left[1\right]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}\left[1\right]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}\left[2\right]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}\left[6\right]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{episode [3] - 35:19,} \\ \textbf{44:17, 44:19} \\ \textbf{equals [1] - 11:23} \\ \textbf{erased [1] - 43:4} \\ \textbf{especially [1] - 54:8} \\ \textbf{ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1,} \\ \textbf{2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7,} \\ \textbf{2:8, 2:10} \\ \textbf{essentially [3] -} \\ \textbf{12:14, 12:18, 67:15} \\ \textbf{establish [1] - 63:14} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{exposed [1] - 67:5} \\ \mbox{express [1] - 42:15} \\ \mbox{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \mbox{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \mbox{extreme [1] - 29:20} \\ \mbox{eyes [1] - 17:10} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mbox{facie [6] - 15:25,} \\ \mbox{16:1, 52:24, 59:7,} \\ \mbox{59:24, 68:18} \\ \mbox{facilities [3] - 45:9,} \\ \hline \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5 finance/business [2] - 11:14, 23:18 fine [4] - 37:21, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mbox{G} \\ \hline \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{GARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{Gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \mbox{Gary's [1] - 32:24} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}\left[2\right]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}\left[1\right]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}\left[1\right]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}\left[2\right]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}\left[6\right]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ 62:1\\ \end{array}$	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10 essentially [3] - 12:14, 12:18, 67:15 establish [1] - 63:14 established [1] - 52:23 establishes [1] -	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exposed [1] - 67:5} \\ \textbf{express [1] - 42:15} \\ \textbf{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \textbf{extreme [1] - 29:20} \\ \textbf{eyes [1] - 17:10} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{F} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{facie [6] - 15:25,} \\ 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, \\ 59:24, 68:18 \\ \textbf{facilities [3] - 45:9,} \\ \textbf{49:14, 50:4} \\ \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files $[2] - 32:16$, 32:17 finally $[8] - 42:20$, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance $[2] - 23:4$, 32:5 finance/business $[2]$ - 11:14, 23:18 fine $[4] - 37:21$, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6 firm $[3] - 9:21$, 10:1,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \mbox{G} \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{gARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}[2]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}[1]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}[1]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}[2]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}[6]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ 62:1\\ \textbf{electronic}[2]-\end{array}$	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10 essentially [3] - 12:14, 12:18, 67:15 establish [1] - 63:14 established [1] - 52:23 establishes [1] - 63:15	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exposed [1] - 67:5} \\ \textbf{express [1] - 42:15} \\ \textbf{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \textbf{extreme [1] - 29:20} \\ \textbf{eyes [1] - 17:10} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{F} \\ \hline \\ \textbf{facie [6] - 15:25,} \\ 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, \\ 59:24, 68:18 \\ \textbf{facilities [3] - 45:9,} \\ \textbf{49:14, 50:4} \\ \textbf{fact [15] - 27:12,} \\ \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files [2] - 32:16, 32:17 finally [8] - 42:20, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance [2] - 23:4, 32:5 finance/business [2] - 11:14, 23:18 fine [4] - 37:21, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6 firm [3] - 9:21, 10:1, 32:10	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{gARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{Gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \mbox{Gary's [1] - 32:24} \\ \mbox{gathered [2] - 32:18,} \\ \mbox{54:11} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}[2]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}[1]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}[1]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}[2]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}[6]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ 62:1\\ \textbf{electronic}[2]-\\ 10:19,54:8\\ \end{array}$	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10 essentially [3] - 12:14, 12:18, 67:15 establish [1] - 63:14 established [1] - 52:23 establishes [1] -	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exposed [1] - 67:5} \\ \textbf{express [1] - 42:15} \\ \textbf{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \textbf{extreme [1] - 29:20} \\ \textbf{eyes [1] - 17:10} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{facie [6] - 15:25,} \\ 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, \\ 59:24, 68:18 \\ \textbf{facilities [3] - 45:9,} \\ \textbf{49:14, 50:4} \\ \textbf{fact [15] - 27:12,} \\ \textbf{34:25, 41:9, 41:11,} \\ \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files $[2] - 32:16$, 32:17 finally $[8] - 42:20$, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance $[2] - 23:4$, 32:5 finance/business $[2]$ - 11:14, 23:18 fine $[4] - 37:21$, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6 firm $[3] - 9:21$, 10:1, 32:10 first $[12] - 24:7$,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{gARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \mbox{Gary's [1] - 32:24} \\ \mbox{gathered [2] - 32:18,} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}[2]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}[1]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}[1]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}[2]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}[6]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ 62:1\\ \textbf{electronic}[2]-\\ 10:19,54:8\\ \textbf{element}[2]-50:22,\\ \end{array}$	episode [3] - 35:19, 44:17, 44:19 equals [1] - 11:23 erased [1] - 43:4 especially [1] - 54:8 ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1, 2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7, 2:8, 2:10 essentially [3] - 12:14, 12:18, 67:15 establish [1] - 63:14 established [1] - 52:23 establishes [1] - 63:15	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exposed} [1] - 67:5 \\ \textbf{express} [1] - 42:15 \\ \textbf{expressions} [1] - 42:17 \\ \textbf{extreme} [1] - 29:20 \\ \textbf{eyes} [1] - 17:10 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{facie} [6] - 15:25, \\ 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, \\ 59:24, 68:18 \\ \textbf{facilities} [3] - 45:9, \\ 49:14, 50:4 \\ \textbf{fact} [15] - 27:12, \\ 34:25, 41:9, 41:11, \\ 42:15, 44:10, 46:12, \\ \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files $[2] - 32:16$, 32:17 finally $[8] - 42:20$, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance $[2] - 23:4$, 32:5 finance/business $[2]$ - 11:14, 23:18 fine $[4] - 37:21$, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6 firm $[3] - 9:21$, 10:1, 32:10 first $[12] - 24:7$, 24:23, 25:5, 39:18,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{garbage [1] - 22:8} \\ \mbox{gARY [1] - 1:9} \\ \mbox{Gary [4] - 12:2, 13:4,} \\ \mbox{41:16, 63:17} \\ \mbox{Gary's [1] - 32:24} \\ \mbox{gathered [2] - 32:18,} \\ \mbox{54:11} \\ \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{l} 37:17,41:24,42:4,\\ 42:6,43:25,44:9,\\ 44:20,53:13,54:12,\\ 54:14,55:15,57:18,\\ 59:14,61:2,65:20\\ \textbf{early}[2]-53:14,\\ 54:21\\ \textbf{effect}[1]-59:16\\ \textbf{effusive}[1]-42:17\\ \textbf{eight}[2]-7:4,34:1\\ \textbf{either}[6]-6:10,\\ 21:22,22:1,27:18,\\ 62:1\\ \textbf{electronic}[2]-\\ 10:19,54:8\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{episode [3] - 35:19,} \\ \mbox{44:17, 44:19} \\ \mbox{equals [1] - 11:23} \\ \mbox{erased [1] - 43:4} \\ \mbox{especially [1] - 54:8} \\ \mbox{ESQUIRE [8] - 2:1,} \\ \mbox{2:2, 2:2, 2:5, 2:5, 2:7,} \\ \mbox{2:8, 2:10} \\ \mbox{essentially [3] -} \\ \mbox{12:14, 12:18, 67:15} \\ \mbox{establishe [1] - 63:14} \\ \mbox{established [1] -} \\ \mbox{52:23} \\ \mbox{establishes [1] -} \\ \mbox{63:15} \\ \mbox{event [2] - 44:18,} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{exposed [1] - 67:5} \\ \textbf{express [1] - 42:15} \\ \textbf{expressions [1] - 42:17} \\ \textbf{extreme [1] - 29:20} \\ \textbf{eyes [1] - 17:10} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \textbf{facie [6] - 15:25,} \\ 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, \\ 59:24, 68:18 \\ \textbf{facilities [3] - 45:9,} \\ \textbf{49:14, 50:4} \\ \textbf{fact [15] - 27:12,} \\ \textbf{34:25, 41:9, 41:11,} \\ \end{array}$	9:10, 18:24, 33:14, 51:13 files $[2] - 32:16$, 32:17 finally $[8] - 42:20$, 43:24, 44:23, 50:21, 59:9, 61:4, 61:18, 64:20 finance $[2] - 23:4$, 32:5 finance/business $[2]$ - 11:14, 23:18 fine $[4] - 37:21$, 51:2, 51:8, 60:6 firm $[3] - 9:21$, 10:1, 32:10 first $[12] - 24:7$,	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{full [1] - 43:23} \\ \mbox{full-fledged [1] -} \\ \mbox{43:23} \\ \mbox{fully [2] - 44:7, 70:6} \\ \mbox{function [3] - 48:2,} \\ \mbox{56:11, 56:15} \\ \hline \\ $

-	-			-
concretion 11:14	head [2] - 36:16,		inform [1] - 66:1	8:14, 16:23
general [5] - 11:14, 23:4, 23:18, 54:11,	43:6		information [8] - 6:7,	involved [9] - 7:3,
23.4, 23.16, 54.11, 54:15	headings [1] - 23:10	I.D [2] - 23:11, 23:14	,	13:3, 13:17, 17:23,
GENERAL [1] - 2:3	headnote [1] - 58:1	identification [1] -	7:7, 12:12, 26:9, 26:12, 54:6, 55:8,	29:16, 32:10, 32:11,
general's [4] - 5:24,	hear [2] - 52:18,	10:8	65:25	45:11, 65:23
35:4, 52:11, 54:22	52:20	identified [3] - 7:4,	Information [1] -	involvement [1] -
		10:18, 34:2	43:6	60:21
generalities [1] - 30:7	heard [14] - 8:21,	IDENTIFIED [1] - 4:3	initial [3] - 29:2,	involving [2] - 16:9,
Generally [1] - 56:9	33:8, 38:7, 40:8, 41:5, 52:17, 53:9, 55:5,	identify [4] - 7:15,	29:23, 33:14	25:18
generally [3] - 30:2,	55:15, 61:15, 63:18,	9:5, 10:9, 10:10	injuries [1] - 29:21	irrelevant [3] - 15:24,
30:3, 31:7	64:10, 65:1, 66:5	identifying [1] - 7:16	innocence [1] -	19:3, 19:12
generate [1] - 44:24	hearing [6] - 25:18,	IDSI [2] - 8:13, 8:19	61:14	issue [9] - 53:4, 53:5,
generous [1] - 49:13	25:22, 26:1, 26:25,	imagine [1] - 18:5	innuendo [1] - 40:13	57:21, 57:22, 58:24,
gentlemen [1] -	53:17, 70:7	immediately [3] -	instituted [1] - 8:20	62:5, 62:16, 62:17,
51:20	HEARING [1] - 1:18	24:13, 29:2, 65:6	instructed [1] - 0.20	66:10
GEORGE [1] - 2:8	heart [1] - 34:11	implemented [1] -	54:10	issuing [1] - 59:4
Gerald [1] - 7:1	held [4] - 52:24,	57:20	instruction [1] - 56:7	IT [1] - 41:20
	53:8, 55:20, 58:13		instructions [1] -	itself [1] - 65:1
germane [1] - 53:7	hello [1] - 21:21	implying [1] - 28:21 important [1] - 47:3	56:6	1001 [1] - 00.1
given [1] - 40:15	Hello [1] - 33:21	• • • •	insult [1] - 21:23	J
gladly [1] - 54:18	helped [1] - 35.21	importantly [1] - 46:9	intent [4] - 47:25,	J
gloss [1] - 63:9	helping [1] - 46:10	impossible [1] -	48:16, 48:20, 49:19	JAMES [1] - 2:2
governmental [3] - 48:1, 56:11, 56:15	hereby [1] - 70:5	20:15	intercourse [2] -	Jerry [18] - 7:12, 8:4,
	high [1] - 64:2	IN [3] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:11	8:14, 16:24	8:7, 12:12, 12:22,
grader [1] - 59:3	higher [1] - 59:3	inappropriate [2] -	interest [1] - 63:16	32:2, 32:3, 35:18,
grading [1] - 59:3	himself [1] - 43:17	16:17, 46:4	interfere [4] - 56:11,	44:2, 44:3, 44:5, 44:6,
GRAHAM [1] - 1:14	hips [1] - 17:12	inbox [1] - 41:22	56:14, 62:13, 62:24	46:2, 46:19, 55:3,
Graham [2] - 36:8, 63:19	historical [1] - 63:9	incident [17] - 6:12,	interfered [2] -	64:8, 65:18, 66:18
grand [12] - 38:9,	hold [4] - 58:20,	7:25, 8:21, 9:3, 9:15,	50:16, 50:18	Joan [2] - 33:9,
97410 [12] - 36.9, 44:16, 45:24, 47:17,	67:21, 68:5, 68:18	10:20, 11:11, 19:11,	interferes [1] - 62:10	41:14
51:20, 52:3, 60:22,	honest [1] - 37:15	25:16, 25:19, 25:24,	interfering [1] -	Joe [3] - 44:3, 64:7,
60:24, 65:5, 66:12,	Honor [46] - 13:8,	27:25, 28:3, 28:5,	62:22	65:3
66:14, 66:19	14:20, 15:9, 15:23,	28:7, 55:17, 59:14	interim [1] - 64:22	John [2] - 41:20,
grants [1] - 56:1	16:1, 17:17, 18:13,	incidents [1] - 41:10	interpret [1] - 58:18	53:10
great [2] - 37:13,	18:19, 21:17, 34:11,	includes [1] - 56:6	interrupt [1] - 50:10	joint [1] - 28:14
37:17	34:21, 35:8, 38:6,	including [2] - 36:9,	interview [4] - 14:1,	Jonesie [1] - 68:25
greater [1] - 43:21	38:13, 38:23, 39:4,	67:3	14:5, 15:17, 15:19	Judge [1] - 64:12
group [2] - 29:4,	39:9, 39:14, 39:18,	inconceivable [1] -	interviewed [2] -	JUDGE [1] - 1:21
55:14	39:25, 40:1, 40:6,	63:21	15:4, 44:4	judge [2] - 56:7,
guardian [2] - 49:7,	40:12, 43:9, 44:23,	inconsistency [1] -	investigated [4] -	68:13
50:12	46:24, 47:4, 47:12,	47:9	27:8, 44:7, 64:4,	judgment [1] - 40:18
guardians [1] - 49:21	47:21, 48:22, 48:24,	inconsistent [2] -	64:18	judicial [1] - 35:2
guess [4] - 32:15,	50:21, 50:23, 51:4,	45:23, 64:9	investigating [1] -	JULY [1] - 5:2
35:21, 53:22, 61:12	51:5, 51:10, 51:16,	incorrect [1] - 47:10	25:23	June [5] - 35:17,
guilty [1] - 56:12	51:24, 52:7, 59:5,	indecent [3] - 8:16,	investigation [32] -	36:6, 36:9, 37:5, 37:7
gunty [1] - 36:12 guy [2] - 46:6, 61:1	60:4, 63:1, 63:3,	9:16, 16:16	6:3, 6:8, 6:15, 9:20,	jurisdiction [2] -
guys [4] - 25:4,	63:12, 64:25	indeed [1] - 53:13	10:5, 10:17, 12:9,	27:24, 51:1
63:20, 64:15, 66:13	hopefully [1] - 26:21	INDEX [2] - 3:1, 4:1	13:2, 15:4, 18:8,	jury [19] - 9:2, 9:5,
	horsing [1] - 46:3	indicate [1] - 8:3	18:17, 18:19, 25:15,	9:15, 16:13, 38:9,
Н	hour [1] - 25:4	indicated [3] - 18:7,	26:13, 27:16, 27:22,	44:16, 45:24, 47:17,
	hours [10] - 11:23,	18:11, 18:25	28:11, 28:15, 28:22,	51:21, 52:3, 56:6,
half [3] - 52:23, 55:4,	12:2, 23:12, 24:16,	indicates [2] - 24:6,	29:1, 29:5, 30:8,	56:7, 60:22, 60:24,
59:23	24:17, 24:20, 25:4,	65:5	30:14, 31:8, 31:15,	65:5, 66:9, 66:12,
handle [1] - 63:10	25:5, 25:6	indication [1] - 11:7	36:7, 43:23, 44:7,	66:14, 66:19
hands [1] - 17:12	house [2] - 30:6,	individual [4] - 44:4,	44:13, 61:11, 64:15,	justice [14] - 47:22,
hardly [1] - 44:15	30:8	53:11, 56:20, 58:15	65:18	53:4, 53:23, 55:1,
Harmon [1] - 41:2	hum [1] - 27:23	indulgence [2] -	investigations [6] -	55:12, 55:18, 55:24,
HARRISBURG [1] -	humane [3] - 44:25,	40:4, 56:2	13:16, 13:22, 14:18,	57:7, 57:9, 61:16,
1:24	45:10, 66:7	inference [1] - 40:14	17:23, 17:24, 29:7	66:10, 66:22, 67:19,
hauled [1] - 22:8	hundreds [1] - 20:15	inferring [1] - 54:7	involuntary [2] -	67:24

K	less [3] - 13:20,	37:1	19:20, 64:23, 65:11	51:2, 51:8, 52:10,
K	42:15, 42:17	maintained [2] -	Mile [13] - 45:7, 45:8,	56:4
keep [4] - 14:12,	letter [2] - 37:23,	36:22, 37:2	46:3, 46:9, 46:14,	must [2] - 56:16,
27:2, 27:9, 65:15	38:2	major [1] - 64:2	46:18, 46:19, 46:22,	56:24
kept [3] - 61:2, 63:24	level [2] - 48:9, 58:22	manner [1] - 51:14	46:23, 49:11, 50:4	mystified [1] - 42:21
key [1] - 54:13	lie [1] - 67:3	March [2] - 53:15,	mind [1] - 38:14	mystifies [1] - 42:13
kid [2] - 14:12, 46:3	lied [1] - 67:22	54:17	minor [4] - 8:17,	
kids [4] - 14:1, 45:9,	lifting [1] - 17:14	MARK [1] - 1:4	8:18, 9:7, 9:17	N
67:13, 68:2	light [1] - 52:1	marked [2] - 10:7,	minors [2] - 9:8, 9:17	11
Kim [2] - 33:10,	likewise [2] - 41:15,	37:22	misconduct [1] -	name [6] - 5:13,
41:18	41:18	marry [1] - 29:2	13:6	5:15, 13:14, 23:2,
kind [3] - 48:9,	limitations [2] -	MATANGOS [1] - 2:8	misconstruing [1] -	27:4, 34:17
55:23, 63:20	51:11, 51:24	material [1] - 67:22	63:6	names [1] - 7:16
knowledge [1] - 33:1	line [6] - 11:15,	matter [9] - 6:20,	Miss [5] - 33:11,	Narcotics [1] - 5:19
known [1] - 64:3	11:20, 16:2, 19:2,	23:11, 23:14, 34:24,	38:17, 57:11, 59:10,	narrow [1] - 33:7
	35:11, 44:6	38:22, 39:12, 51:15,	64:5	Nativa [1] - 70:13
L	lines [2] - 10:16,	51:16, 51:24	missed [1] - 20:22	nature [4] - 8:18,
	45:16	matters [4] - 21:15,	moment [2] - 37:25,	29:18, 30:15, 63:7
labeled [1] - 38:19	Lisa [1] - 42:9	28:14, 31:11, 31:12	39:1	necessary [1] -
laid [1] - 65:20	listed [1] - 7:3	McQuaide [7] - 9:21,	Monday [1] - 65:9	14:13
large [2] - 50:3,	listening [1] - 53:6	9:24, 10:12, 11:10,	MONDAY [1] - 1:22	need [4] - 15:13,
66:13	listing [1] - 26:12	11:25, 22:10, 43:17	months [1] - 58:6	29:15, 30:9, 30:11
Lasch [1] - 7:23	litigants [1] - 58:18	McQueary [21] -	Morris [4] - 32:10,	needn't [1] - 51:4
last [15] - 5:15, 6:17,	lives [1] - 46:15	6:13, 7:24, 9:12,	32:12, 32:24	needs [1] - 47:24
8:22, 10:15, 11:5,	Liz [1] - 39:20	10:21, 15:3, 15:17,	most [6] - 8:11, 8:14,	Neeper [1] - 43:6
22:24, 25:6, 26:5,	location [1] - 27:25	16:3, 16:9, 17:4, 17:9,	17:18, 62:14, 64:2,	neglect [1] - 31:21
35:16, 40:8, 44:1,	London [2] - 37:3,	19:15, 19:20, 34:5,	64:3	negligent [1] - 63:25
47:1, 52:15, 53:19,	37:5	40:16, 40:23, 45:5,	most-high [1] - 64:2	neophyte [1] - 42:22
55:7	look [5] - 10:14,	52:19, 52:22, 53:1,	motion [1] - 17:6	never [11] - 17:4,
late [1] - 54:20	22:23, 38:9, 40:15,	64:23, 65:12	move [4] - 12:4,	17:11, 22:3, 22:9,
LAURA [1] - 2:2	55:11	mean [7] - 23:2,	17:19, 25:14, 31:24	38:7, 40:24, 43:5,
law [15] - 5:20, 6:10,	looked [1] - 64:18	30:17, 34:25, 44:10,	moved [1] - 12:7	43:12, 43:13, 54:7
9:20, 13:25, 17:22,	looking [3] - 25:9,	49:15, 50:23, 51:2	moving [1] - 39:23	new [2] - 59:1, 59:19
18:2, 22:3, 27:11,	49:5, 59:14	meaning [1] - 33:5	MR [59] - 5:12, 12:4,	next [4] - 11:14,
32:10, 48:1, 51:15,	looks [1] - 36:24	means [2] - 56:10,	12:5, 12:8, 13:8,	41:2, 41:14, 48:22
56:13, 56:23, 58:16, 66:23	losing [1] - 31:25	68:12	13:11, 14:20, 14:22,	night [1] - 10:20
lawyer [3] - 21:23,	low [2] - 22:6, 47:11	meant [1] - 29:12	14:25, 15:9, 15:11,	nine [5] - 7:19, 8:2,
22:9, 41:16	lower [1] - 58:17	meet [1] - 65:7	15:13, 15:14, 15:16,	8:6, 8:8, 8:13
lawyers [1] - 32:12		meeting [1] - 65:24	15:23, 15:25, 16:4,	nineties [1] - 9:23
lead [3] - 6:2, 46:13,	М	meets [2] - 59:7,	16:5, 17:17, 17:20,	NO [1] - 1:23
46:15		65:5	17:21, 18:12, 18:13, 18:14, 18:18, 19:3,	nobody [2] - 39:22, 65:11
learned [1] - 59:13	ma'am [2] - 52:9, 60:3	member [2] - 46:1,	19:14, 19:18, 19:3, 19:8, 19:9, 19:12,	None [1] - 54:4
least [8] - 20:22,	MAGISTERIAL [1] -	64:13	19:0, 19:9, 19:12, 19:18, 19:24, 20:1,	none [4] - 24:13,
37:3, 49:2, 51:21,	1:21	members [1] - 52:10 memorandum [3] -	20:8, 20:9, 20:14,	26:14, 28:18, 55:8
52:5, 57:17, 62:19,	magisterial [1] -	40:2, 40:10, 47:2	20:18, 20:19, 21:10,	nonetheless [1] -
68:16	68:13	mention [1] - 44:2	21:16, 21:19, 30:17,	52:22
leave [5] - 39:21,	mail [13] - 35:17,	mentioned [2] - 32:3,	30:19, 30:23, 30:25,	nonspecific [1] -
40:16, 40:22, 68:21	37:20, 42:3, 43:1,	44:3	33:17, 34:10, 34:19,	44:12
led [3] - 18:21, 19:22,	44:23, 45:2, 45:19,	merely [1] - 56:13	34:25, 38:3, 38:10,	notation [4] - 11:3,
67:25	45:22, 46:5, 46:17,	message [1] - 66:5	39:5, 39:9, 39:13,	11:5, 11:8, 11:22
leeway [1] - 14:22	55:16, 63:10, 63:11	messed [1] - 40:21	50:23, 51:6, 60:4,	note [1] - 48:24
left [1] - 12:19	mails [16] - 35:21,	met [1] - 35:18	60:6, 60:11, 63:3	noted [1] - 12:11
legal [13] - 10:1,	37:17, 41:24, 42:4,	micromanaging [1] -	MS [24] - 12:6, 33:19,	notes [5] - 41:2,
11:19, 23:23, 24:8,	42:6, 43:25, 44:9,	63:20	34:11, 34:15, 34:21,	41:14, 61:3, 65:10,
24:25, 40:9, 51:24,	44:20, 53:13, 54:12,	might [3] - 20:22,	35:7, 35:13, 35:14,	70:6
56:19, 56:21, 57:5,	54:14, 55:15, 57:18,	45:13, 45:19	36:17, 36:19, 38:6,	nothing [19] - 38:4,
57:6, 65:7	59:14, 61:2, 65:20	Mike [10] - 6:13,	38:23, 39:1, 39:3,	39:3, 40:23, 41:12,
legitimate [1] - 65:18	Main [2] - 40:20, 42:2	9:12, 10:20, 15:3,	39:14, 39:15, 39:18,	41:15, 41:17, 41:19,
length [1] - 43:21	maintain [2] - 28:23,	15:17, 16:9, 17:4,	39:25, 40:4, 40:6,	41:21, 43:18, 44:10,

45:22, 45:23, 46:4, 52:18, 55:5, 55:21, 55:23, 57:6, 64:19 notice [1] - 35:2 November [5] - 15:6, 19:16, 42:11, 54:20, 54:21 number [21] - 7:18, 7:20, 8:8, 8:13, 8:25, 9:1, 11:9, 13:18, 13:19, 16:7, 16:11, 16:12, 17:9, 18:20, 18:21, 26:18, 38:3, 47:7, 48:22 Number [1] - 8:13 numbering [1] -11:11 numbers [3] - 7:9, 7:17, 23:15 numerous [1] - 41:5 0 oath [1] - 67:4 obey [1] - 61:10 object [1] - 17:17 objection [14] - 12:5, 12:6, 14:20, 15:9, 15:23, 18:12, 19:12, 20:14, 21:10, 30:17, 34:10, 34:14, 34:19, 38:19 **Objection** [1] - 19:24 objections [1] - 38:3 obligation [2] -56:23, 61:10 obstruct [4] - 47:25, 48:11, 55:24, 66:22 obstructed [1] -67:23 obstructing [1] -67:18 obstruction [16] -47:21, 53:4, 53:23, 54:4, 55:1, 55:11, 55:18, 56:5, 56:8, 57:7, 57:9, 60:1, 61:1, 61:8, 61:15, 66:10 obtain [2] - 9:20, 10:3 obtained [1] - 6:7 obviously [6] -39:22, 43:19, 43:21, 46:11, 61:19, 68:21 occasions [1] - 52:4 occur [1] - 6:5 occurred [8] - 7:22, 10:16, 20:25, 27:25, 28:1, 38:15, 51:18, 59:14

October [2] - 42:10, 61:14 **OF** [11] - 1:1, 1:1, 1:6, 1:6, 1:11, 1:11, 1:17.2:3 offense [8] - 8:15, 50:25, 51:1, 58:4, 58:22, 59:10, 62:18, 62:19 offenses [1] - 8:16 offer [3] - 36:17, 38:8, 40:2 offered [2] - 45:6, 57:1 office [13] - 5:24, 12:11, 22:3, 29:3, 32:4, 32:16, 32:22, 32:24, 35:4, 52:11, 54:11, 54:22, 64:18 OFFICE [1] - 2:3 Office [1] - 5:18 official [10] - 48:6, 50:9, 50:10, 50:11, 50:13, 56:23, 57:3, 62:10, 62:13 Official [1] - 70:14 **Old** [2] - 40:20, 42:2 old [2] - 51:22, 52:6 once [2] - 39:22, 59:21 one [54] - 6:2, 7:18, 8:1. 8:6. 8:8. 8:13. 12:13, 12:16, 12:18, 12:20, 12:21, 13:2, 14:8, 15:11, 17:4, 17:9, 18:23, 20:22, 21:4, 21:22, 22:5, 22:24, 26:4, 26:18, 28:12, 31:8, 33:8, 33:9, 34:7, 35:22, 35:23, 36:2, 36:4, 42:25, 44:1, 44:2, 44:5, 44:12, 44:13, 44:20, 44:23, 44:25, 47:6, 48:24, 49:4, 51:10, 51:12, 56:1, 58:1, 58:21, 61:4, 62:2, 62:10, 62:21 ones [3] - 21:1, 21:5, 21:6 ongoing [1] - 67:12 opened [1] - 34:22 opinions [1] - 18:3 opportunity [1] -45:6 order [1] - 39:16 ordinary [1] - 52:18 organization [1] -66:2 organizations [1] -

50:5 oriented [1] - 63:20 otherwise [1] - 68:3 outbox [1] - 41:24 outlined [1] - 66:7 overall [1] - 68:2 own [6] - 28:22, 29:1, 29:8, 32:25, 66:14, 66:19 Ρ P-o-p-o-w [1] - 58:2 page [8] - 11:5, 22:23, 23:7, 48:24, 53:18, 54:2, 55:2 paper [1] - 12:22 paperwork [1] -68:23 parent [3] - 49:7, 50:12, 58:5 parents [2] - 49:20, 49.21 part [10] - 14:16, 17:15.45:1.45:10. 45:12. 50:6. 54:3. 56:8, 61:16, 61:17 participate [1] - 6:24 particular [6] - 7:3, 28:1, 28:15, 31:8, 35:3, 38:21 particularly [1] -10:5 past [1] - 68:10 Paterno [5] - 10:24, 40:20, 44:3, 64:8, 65:3 patience [1] - 31:25 pause [1] - 39:2 penetration [6] -16:14, 16:21, 16:24, 17:5, 17:7, 17:8 penetration-related [1] - 16:14 Penn [20] - 7:22, 9:25, 10:1, 10:13, 10:14, 28:1, 41:5, 41:20, 43:7, 45:9, 46:8, 49:13, 49:14, 49:17, 53:11, 54:22, 62:8, 67:10, 68:4, 68:15 Pennsylvania [4] -5:18, 6:12, 6:25, 28:16 PENNSYLVANIA [7] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:6, 1:7, 1:11, 1:12, 1:24 people [8] - 13:3, 13:6, 18:20, 37:20,

46:13, 49:17, 49:21, 50·5 per [2] - 11:11, 54:25 perform [6] - 56:19, 56:21, 56:23, 56:24, 57.5 performing [1] - 57:6 perhaps [4] - 25:8, 30:5, 49:21, 60:8 period [5] - 17:24, 36:9, 57:15, 57:19, 60:23 perjury [9] - 47:4, 48:12, 52:25, 53:7, 53:8, 55:19, 55:20, 55:22, 59:24 perpetrator [2] -26:13, 27:3 PERRY [23] - 2:5, 13:11, 14:22, 14:25, 15:11, 15:14, 15:16, 15:25, 16:4, 16:5, 17:20, 17:21, 18:13, 18:18, 19:8, 19:9, 19:18, 20:1, 20:8, 20:9, 20:18, 20:19, 21:16 **Perry** [2] - 3:3, 13:14 person [8] - 34:5, 41:20, 48:15, 49:7, 56:9, 64:3, 65:23, 65:24 personal [1] - 33:1 persons [1] - 48:15 physical [1] - 31:21 pieces [1] - 12:22 place [1] - 28:13 PLACE [1] - 1:23 plan [3] - 27:20, 65:7, 65:10 planner [3] - 37:1, 37:2, 37:6 pleadings [1] - 7:8 **PLEAS** [3] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:11 point [6] - 30:18, 32:9, 43:20, 47:2, 51:1, 58:25 pointed [1] - 25:17 points [2] - 52:13, 60:12 **Police** [3] - 5:22, 28:2, 28:16 police [14] - 6:21, 27:21, 29:3, 29:15, 29:17, 29:24, 30:9, 30:11, 30:15, 31:10, 31:16, 43:23, 64:17, 65:16 policy [1] - 13:24

Popow [1] - 58:2 popped [2] - 43:2, 43.3 position [3] - 55:19, 63:21, 66:17 possess [1] - 36:25 possible [1] - 25:7 possibly [1] - 37:4 post [7] - 20:10, 20:12, 20:13, 20:20, 59:12, 61:20, 61:23 potential [1] - 27:3 Powers [1] - 42:9 practically [1] -41:21 practice [1] - 31:6 precise [1] - 42:5 predated [1] - 42:6 predominant [1] -34:24 PRELIMINARY [1] -1:18 preliminary [6] -6:17, 25:18, 25:22, 26:1, 26:25, 30:8 prerequisites [1] -62.21 present [2] - 5:23, 67:25 presented [3] -55:12, 57:18, 59:7 presentment [4] -53:18, 54:1, 54:2, 54:3 preserved [1] - 61:2 president [1] - 32:5 press [3] - 42:12, 61:13.64:13 presumably [1] -46:2 pretty [1] - 53:1 prevented [2] -50:15, 50:18 previous [2] - 25:18, 26:24 prima [6] - 15:25, 16:1, 52:24, 59:7, 59:24, 68:18 problem [2] - 46:20, 68·3 proceed [1] - 66:8 proceeding [2] -50:7, 53:22 proceedings [2] -69:2.70:5 PROCEEDINGS [1] -1:17 proclaiming [1] -61:14 produced [2] -

46:25, 61:4	63:13	regarding [3] -	requested [3] -	S
productive [1] -		11:15, 11:16, 57:10	38:18, 54:6, 54:13	5
46:15	R	regional [1] - 6:1	required [1] - 68:23	S-a-s-s-a-n-o [1] -
professionalism [1]		regular [1] - 29:14	requirements [1] -	5:16
- 68:11	raises [1] - 59:2	relate [1] - 40:9	40:9	sacrosanct [1] -
professionals [1] -	ran [2] - 37:14, 54:13	related [9] - 6:12,	Requires [1] - 66:3	31:12
54:4	rather [3] - 45:3,	8:16, 8:21, 9:3, 16:14,	requires [3] - 62:10,	Sandusky [33] - 7:1,
profile [1] - 64:2	63:3, 63:8	53:9, 57:6, 58:15,	62:18	7:12, 7:17, 8:4, 8:7,
promised [1] - 60:8	RDR [1] - 70:13	59:7	research [5] - 11:19,	9:6, 9:10, 12:12,
promotes [1] - 55:23	re [5] - 11:16, 11:19,	relates [2] - 7:20, 8:1	23:23, 24:8, 24:25,	12:23, 16:7, 16:14,
propose [1] - 40:6	23:23, 23:24, 44:6	relating [2] - 32:3,	25:6	17:5, 21:8, 26:8,
prosecute [1] - 64:19	RE [1] - 11:19	55:17	respect [12] - 40:7,	26:12, 26:17, 28:4,
prosecution [11] -	read [5] - 24:1,	relationship [3] -	43:18, 43:25, 50:9,	32:2, 34:6, 34:23,
34:21, 42:23, 43:25,	37:25, 55:25, 56:7,	9:25, 40:25, 43:14	50:11, 50:13, 60:25,	44:3, 45:3, 45:8, 46:2,
47:8, 47:10, 48:18,	57:25	relatively [1] - 30:21	61:18, 62:4, 62:6,	46:19, 55:3, 58:13,
51:3, 51:13, 53:22,	reading [1] - 24:22	relaying [1] - 31:23	68:11, 68:16	61:5, 62:6, 62:7,
55:13, 68:22	ready [1] - 5:4	release [2] - 42:12,	respond [2] - 44:11,	65:18, 66:18, 67:10
prosecution's [1] -	real [1] - 32:20	61:13	59:21	Sandusky's [7] -
43:9	really [10] - 45:19,	relevance [7] -	responding [1] -	13:3, 32:4, 34:2,
protecting [1] - 67:6	47:2, 52:17, 53:21,	14:21, 18:12, 21:12,	60:22	34:18, 34:20, 42:18,
protection [1] -	55:9, 55:12, 57:5,	30:17, 30:19, 38:5,	response [2] - 20:7,	45:11
49:25	63:5, 63:8	42:14	33:25	Sassano [12] - 3:2,
protocol [3] - 14:4,	reasonable [1] - 66:8	relevant [6] - 10:5,	responsibilities [1] -	5:6, 5:14, 13:12,
28:13, 29:4	reasons [2] - 14:8,	11:4, 15:13, 15:14,	67:7	18:16, 21:20, 33:20,
prove [2] - 56:16,	68:5	18:13, 18:14	responsibility [3] -	38:4, 38:7, 43:11,
56:20	rebut [1] - 15:25	relieved [2] - 67:14,	66:1, 67:14, 67:18	43:24, 67:9
provide [2] - 26:11,	received [2] - 55:7,	67:17	responsible [1] -	SASSANO [1] - 5:8
26:16	65:25	remains [1] - 41:11	58:14	Saturday [2] - 11:1,
provided [2] - 12:21,	recent [1] - 8:2	remarkable [2] -	rest [1] - 45:17	65:3 saved [1] - 42:6
54:14	recollection [5] -	63:8, 65:2	rests [1] - 39:9	saved [1] - 42.0 saw [10] - 16:10,
PSU [2] - 11:14,	12:20, 32:23, 36:20,	remember [2] - 36:4,	result [3] - 6:7, 9:14,	17:5, 17:11, 19:20,
23:18	52:5	44:18	9:15	33:12, 34:5, 43:12,
PSU-general-	recollections [2] - 51:19, 51:21	repeat [3] - 11:18,	retained [1] - 28:17	66:11
finance/business-	record [23] - 10:4,	30:24, 60:13	retirement [4] -	scene [1] - 56:17
central [2] - 11:14, 23:18	10:12, 11:25, 22:11,	repeated [1] - 58:9	12:23, 13:4, 32:4, 60:20	SCHULTZ [1] - 1:9
	27:7, 27:10, 27:12,	repeatedly [2] -		Schultz [33] - 2:9,
psychologist [1] -	27:15, 27:17, 27:18,	57:21, 58:7	retrieve [1] - 38:24 retrieved [1] - 42:8	11:15, 11:21, 12:3,
46:14	28:23, 30:20, 31:17,	repetitive [1] - 52:17	retrospect [1] -	13:4, 19:21, 23:2,
public [3] - 56:22, 57:3, 65:16	32:13, 38:15, 38:17,	replete [2] - 58:17, 67:2	46:11	23:22, 23:24, 24:2,
pulled [1] - 61:12	43:17, 43:18, 47:15,	replies [1] - 66:2	return [1] - 9:2	24:8, 24:10, 24:24,
• • • •	48:8, 50:19, 67:2	report [26] - 6:9,	returned [1] - 36:15	25:1, 25:19, 33:15,
punish [1] - 58:5 purposes [1] - 10:8	records [3] - 10:15,	6:16, 6:17, 6:19,	reveal [1] - 6:15	42:16, 43:19, 48:19,
purposes [1] - 10.8 put [1] - 47:5	22:14, 27:3	25:16, 25:23, 26:7,	revealed [1] - 36:7	52:12, 60:15, 60:20,
μαι[1] - 47.5	recovered [4] -	26:17, 26:18, 27:8,	revered [1] - 46:6	60:25, 61:9, 62:1,
Q	12:10, 12:13, 12:16,	28:2, 28:10, 28:17,	review [4] - 38:18,	62:3, 62:12, 62:24,
<u>v</u>	12:21	30:20, 45:14, 50:16,	38:21, 40:7, 52:14	63:17, 63:19, 65:6,
quarreling [1] - 35:8	RECROSS [1] - 3:1	50:19, 50:21, 55:23,	reviewed [1] - 57:11	65:10, 68:17
questioned [1] -	redirect [1] - 39:5	62:11, 62:15, 62:22,	revisit [1] - 58:14	Schultz' [6] - 12:11,
38:22	REDIRECT [1] - 3:1	62:23, 62:25, 64:23,	revisited [1] - 58:10	32:16, 41:18, 42:7,
questioning [2] -	reference [10] - 6:16,	65:3	ridiculous [1] - 64:11	53:14, 61:21
35:11, 53:12	6:19, 10:13, 10:16,	reported [4] - 6:13,	rises [1] - 58:22	Schultz's [2] - 41:16,
questions [6] -	11:13, 12:22, 12:23,	10:24, 28:7, 66:7	Roberto [1] - 13:15	61:16
15:12, 20:7, 21:16,	26:7, 26:17, 28:13	Reporter [1] - 70:14	ROBERTO [4] - 2:5,	scope [2] - 14:21,
33:17, 33:25, 52:8	referred [3] - 7:7,	reporting [4] - 11:16,	39:15, 52:10, 56:4	18:15
quick [2] - 30:22,	8:24, 28:12	23:23, 66:23, 67:6	role [3] - 62:6, 68:1,	screwed [1] - 40:20
60:12	referring [1] - 15:2	represent [2] -	68:2	search [3] - 32:2,
quickly [2] - 14:23,	refresh [1] - 36:20	13:14, 33:23	room [1] - 34:6	53:13, 54:13
14:24	refused [1] - 56:18	represented [1] -		second [4] - 25:1,
quite [2] - 37:15,	regard [1] - 8:6	10:1		44:13, 50:6, 64:3

Second [13] - 45:7,	47:11, 47:12	specifically [5] -	60:22, 60:24	team [1] - 64:2
45:8, 46:2, 46:9,	shown [5] - 35:15,	7:20, 8:1, 10:3, 10:15,	subsection [2] -	Technology [1] -
46:14, 46:18, 46:19,	54:5, 61:25, 68:11	66:20	61:25, 62:9	43:7
46:22, 46:23, 49:11,	shows [1] - 37:3	spell [1] - 5:15	subsections [1] -	ten [1] - 34:1
50:4	sic [1] - 46:23	spent [7] - 11:23,	48:23	tens [2] - 41:7, 46:13
secondly [2] - 47:21,	sic} [1] - 41:16	12:1, 12:2, 24:17,	subsequent [2] -	tenths [1] - 25:4
50:15	side [1] - 66:5	24:23, 24:24, 24:25	19:15, 44:5	terms [2] - 8:2, 45:2
secretary [3] - 36:22,	sidebar [2] - 38:10,	staff [2] - 41:5, 46:1	sufficient [1] - 56:25	testified [17] - 5:9,
41:18, 61:3	38:16	stage [2] - 58:25,	suggest [1] - 19:25	9:12, 15:22, 20:21,
see [11] - 21:14,	silence [1] - 67:1	64:25	suggested [2] - 20:5,	25:22, 34:3, 40:16,
23:10, 25:23, 29:15,	similar [1] - 36:24	stand [2] - 52:19,	56:6	42:9, 42:20, 43:11,
30:8, 40:14, 42:18,	similarly [1] - 9:9	64:6	suggesting [4] -	43:16, 51:20, 52:3,
47:15, 47:19, 51:12,	simply [4] - 44:6,	star [1] - 46:7	19:19, 19:23, 20:5,	52:22, 53:1, 63:19,
57:14	49:18, 50:2, 62:21	start [2] - 47:18, 63:5	62:14	67:9
seeing [1] - 46:2	sinister [1] - 46:11	started [2] - 39:20,	suggestions [1] -	testify [3] - 26:5,
seek [1] - 54:6	sitting [1] - 68:13	39:22	20:6	34:18, 35:25
seem [1] - 46:20	six [2] - 9:1, 47:5	starting [1] - 24:1	suggestive [1] -	testifying [1] - 52:4
seemly [1] - 46:21	skin [1] - 22:1	State [19] - 9:25,	65:14	testimony [17] -
sees [1] - 65:4	Smith [1] - 26:10	10:2, 10:13, 10:15,	suggests [1] - 65:13	10:19, 10:23, 17:15,
senior [1] - 32:4	solely [1] - 29:21	28:1, 28:16, 41:5,	summary [4] - 24:16,	20:6, 26:9, 39:11,
sense [1] - 58:19	someone [1] - 30:5	41:20, 43:7, 45:9,	50:24, 62:18, 62:19	47:9, 49:12, 53:6,
sent [1] - 54:22	sometimes [1] - 18:2	46:8, 49:13, 49:14,	summed [1] - 46:24	53:9, 53:17, 64:25,
sentencing [2] -	somewhat [1] -	49:17, 53:11, 54:22,	Sunday [4] - 12:1,	65:5, 66:12, 66:14,
58:24, 59:4	22:16	62:8, 68:4, 68:15	65:6, 65:8	66:20, 67:23
September [1] -	somewhere [1] -	state [1] - 5:13	Superior [1] - 58:17	text [1] - 46:17
60:21	19:16	State's [2] - 7:22,	supervise [1] - 62:2	THE [41] - 1:1, 1:6,
sequence [1] - 24:7	sorry [3] - 21:2, 33:5,	67:10	supervised [3] -	1:11, 3:1, 4:3, 5:4,
series [3] - 15:11,	36:13	statement [3] -	53:3, 62:1, 62:2	12:7, 14:24, 15:15,
35:21, 63:4	sort [3] - 9:24, 46:24,	47:13, 47:16, 47:20	supervising [2] -	16:2, 17:19, 19:6,
serious [3] - 8:11,	62:15	statements [3] -	49:7, 49:22	19:13, 19:14, 20:2,
8:15, 8:18	sorts [1] - 66:16	14:10, 14:11, 47:17	supervision [2] -	20:4, 21:11, 21:18,
served [1] - 53:18	SOS [2] - 53:11, 54:4	States [1] - 59:2	49:11, 49:17	30:21, 30:24, 33:18,
services [1] - 13:25	sound [1] - 46:12	statewide [1] - 14:3	supervisory [3] -	34:13, 35:5, 35:10,
SESSION [1] - 5:3	Spanier [48] - 2:11,	status [1] - 18:25	62:4, 62:6, 68:2	38:12, 38:14, 38:17,
set [4] - 21:12, 22:25,	19:21, 36:8, 37:3,	statute [14] - 49:19,	support [3] - 42:16,	38:25, 39:6, 39:10,
23:8, 40:9	37:13, 38:8, 40:8,	51:11, 51:24, 58:3,	49:25, 59:24	39:16, 39:19, 40:3,
several [3] - 35:16,	40:12, 40:24, 40:25,	58:16, 58:18, 59:12,	supports [3] - 55:13,	40:5, 52:9, 56:3, 60:3,
37:4, 52:4	41:1, 41:3, 41:6,	59:15, 59:16, 59:19,	55:18, 55:22	60:5, 60:10, 63:2,
sexual [11] - 8:14,	41:10, 41:16, 41:19,	61:20, 61:23, 67:12,	Supreme [2] - 59:1,	68:8
8:16, 16:24, 28:25,	42:10, 42:15, 43:1,	67:20	59:2	theory [1] - 58:11
29:9, 29:12, 29:18,	43:10, 43:12, 44:10,	stay [1] - 68:23	surprising [3] - 41:4,	they've [2] - 18:20,
29:22, 30:10, 31:19,	44:16, 44:24, 45:18,	step [2] - 38:12, 66:3	41:11, 44:15	62:22
45:18	45:21, 45:24, 47:13,	Steve [1] - 43:6	suspected [7] -	thick [1] - 22:1
sexually [1] - 8:4	47:16, 47:19, 47:24,	stick [1] - 59:19	11:16, 11:18, 12:1,	thinking [1] - 54:25
shared [2] - 48:15,	47:25, 48:6, 48:13,	still [2] - 15:13, 42:13	23:23, 29:7, 50:16,	thinks [1] - 48:18
48:20	48:19, 49:6, 49:12,	stoop [1] - 22:6	65:8	third [2] - 48:11, 58:4
sheet [1] - 10:4	49:16, 49:23, 50:2,	story [1] - 40:19	sustain [1] - 34:13	THOMAS [1] - 2:7
show [14] - 10:7,	52:2, 52:12, 63:19,	strategy [1] - 35:3	sworn [1] - 5:9	thousands [4] - 41:7,
30:20, 35:23, 36:18,	63:24, 66:2, 67:21,	students [1] - 41:9	sympathy [1] - 42:17	41:8, 46:13, 66:15
41:14, 47:8, 47:24,	68:17	stuff [1] - 29:14	system [3] - 11:12,	thread [1] - 55:16
48:5, 48:13, 49:5,	SPANIER [2] - 1:14,	subject [5] - 7:12,	42:3, 42:7	three [8] - 10:16, 20:21, 20:23, 33:7,
49:23, 50:8, 50:15,	4:5	24:9, 26:13, 27:3,	–	48:24, 60:12, 63:22,
51:13	Spanier's [3] - 36:21,	34:24	Т	48.24, 00.12, 03.22, 67:23
showed [2] - 45:17,	41:12, 53:14	submit [6] - 40:13,	talks [3] - 44:24,	Tim [2] - 13:14,
57:23	speaking [1] - 56:9	45:21, 50:24, 56:18,	44:25, 45:12	63:17
shower [5] - 7:23, 9:11, 16:10, 34:6	speaks [1] - 65:1	64:9, 64:12	task [4] - 23:21, 24:3,	timely [1] - 51:14
9:11, 16:10, 34:6 showers [2] - 7:24,	special [2] - 42:7,	submitted [1] - 63:11	24:12, 24:18	TIMOTHY [1] - 1:4
46:3	54:17	subpoena [3] - 54:5,	task/activity [1] -	title [3] - 5:25, 23:3,
40.3 showing [3] - 37:22,	specific [3] - 21:12, 33:13, 39:10	61:5	23:12	23:5
3110 mily [3] - 37.22,	55.15, 55.10	subpoenas [2] -		

Tiva [1] - 38:17 Umm [1] - 8:10 vicinity [1] - 37:4 **TO** [2] - 3:1, 4:1 uncles [1] - 49:20 victim [7] - 7:8, 8:1, 8:2, 8:24, 16:6, 17:8, today [5] - 21:15, uncomfortable [1] -51:17, 55:5, 57:2, 26.18 65:22 59:13 under [11] - 11:20, victim's [1] - 16:11 29:25, 30:3, 49:1, victimization [2] together [1] - 54:12 tom [1] - 41:2 49:8, 59:22, 59:24, 19:22, 20:24 took [2] - 43:5, 49:17 61:5, 61:23, 61:25, victimized [1] top [3] - 23:7, 36:2, 67:4 20.10 36.16 underlined [1] victims [17] - 7:4, 37:11 7:12, 7:15, 8:6, 14:6, topic [1] - 25:14 underlying [1] - 8:15 16:7, 18:21, 20:10, total [1] - 24:18 understood [2] -21:9, 21:14, 34:2, totally [2] - 44:12, 46:4, 60:19 42:18, 43:12, 43:13, 45:2 43:15, 67:9 unenforceable [1] touching [1] - 16:17 66:21 violated [1] - 62:19 tragic [1] - 68:15 violating [1] - 49:24 unfounded [6] tragically [1] - 67:8 27:6, 27:9, 28:8, 28:9, violence [2] - 29:20, training [1] - 13:21 28:23, 31:16 48:6 TRANSCRIPT [1] -United [1] - 59:1 visit [1] - 57:21 1:17 **VOLUME** [1] - 1:19 University [5] - 9:25, transcript [3] -28:2, 28:16, 54:23, vulnerable [2] -47:17, 66:12, 70:8 68:15 45:13, 66:6 traumatizing [1] university [7] -14:12 37:15, 41:7, 50:3, w traveled [2] - 37:13, 64:2, 65:7, 66:13, 37:15 waited [1] - 55:4 66:19 tremendous [1] wants [3] - 20:16, unlawful [6] - 8:17, 63:16 49:3. 64:6 9:7, 9:17, 16:22, 48:7, trial [8] - 6:25, 7:17, warranted [1] - 43:22 48.1416:7, 34:2, 34:18, week [1] - 37:4 unless [3] - 42:6, 34:20, 34:23, 53:7 weeks [1] - 58:6 52:7, 56:10 tried [1] - 56:13 welfare [20] - 6:11, unusual [1] - 41:22 trooper [1] - 19:1 **up** [22] - 19:24, 6:22, 9:8, 9:18, 48:23, trouble [1] - 51:4 49:8, 49:10, 49:22, 22:25, 23:8, 29:2, troubled [1] - 46:10 49:24, 50:8, 57:10, 29:23, 30:21, 32:19, true [6] - 14:18, 57:13, 57:16, 59:8, 40:18, 40:20, 40:21, 14:19, 16:13, 16:15, 61:19, 62:1, 62:2, 40:22, 40:25, 43:2, 47:13, 47:20 65:16, 66:11, 68:1 43:3, 44:14, 46:24, truth [1] - 61:3 well-known [1] -53:10, 59:21, 63:5, try [2] - 60:7, 60:11 64:3 64:6, 67:2, 67:24 trying [1] - 53:20 Wendell [1] - 11:24 upset [1] - 46:2 TUESDAY [1] - 5:2 WENNER [1] - 1:21 upsetting [1] - 46:1 turned [5] - 32:11, whatsoever [4] urging [1] - 58:17 32:15, 32:16, 32:19, 41:1, 41:17, 43:14, uses [1] - 56:10 32:23 48.4 twice [1] - 65:1 V WILLIAM [1] - 1:21 two [15] - 8:22, willingness [1] -12:21, 16:12, 31:25, vanguard [1] - 46:10 66:4 35:16, 40:8, 44:9, vanilla [1] - 44:10 withdraw [1] - 27:13 44:20, 47:1, 47:22, various [1] - 60:19 witness [8] - 5:9, 48:23, 49:6, 60:7, vast [1] - 41:7 35:12, 36:18, 38:24, 60:16, 68:10 verdict [1] - 21:8 41:15, 42:23, 52:19, type [1] - 11:9 version [2] - 61:20, 65:10 types [1] - 28:13 61:23 Witness [1] - 38:1 typically [2] - 14:3, versus [4] - 6:25, WITNESS [4] -22:17 19:14, 20:4, 30:24, 24:24, 24:25, 58:2 vice [1] - 32:5 38.12 U vice-president [1] witnessed [2] - 7:24, 32:5 10:21 um-hum [1] - 27:23

WITNESSES [1] - 3:1 witnesses [7] -14:19, 15:2, 39:11, 41:6, 52:1, 60:19, 63:18 Wood [1] - 70:13 words [1] - 29:9 wrap [1] - 30:21 wrapped [1] - 44:13 write [1] - 61:13 written [1] - 24:7 wrongdoing [1] -44:8 Υ year [5] - 52:23, 53:19, 55:7, 59:23, 66:15 years [7] - 49:8, 51:18, 51:22, 52:6, 67:15 yesterday [7] - 9:12, 15:22, 33:8, 53:2, 55:4, 57:2, 59:13 young [3] - 46:13, 49:17.64:5 yourself [2] - 25:17, 32:21 Youth [2] - 18:7, 18:10 youth [6] - 13:25, 17:22, 18:2, 18:24, 46:10, 65:17